# Funded by:



### **Overview of Dietary Manipulation**

Air Management Practices Assessment Tool (AMPAT)

Project Team:

- Jay Harmon, Prof of Ag & Biosystems Engineering
   Steve Hoff, Professor of Ag & Biosystems Engineering
   Angie Rieck-Hinz, Extension Program Specialist

IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY

## **Application**

· Used to reduce emissions from buildings and manure storage

# IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY Extension and Outreach

### **Basic Operation**

- · Feed nutrients greatly impacts manure nutrient content
- · Reduction in manure nutrient content reduces the potential for emissions
- · Nutrient input reduction
- · Nutrient form modification

### **Basic Operation**

- An improvement of feed efficiency of 0.1 generally decrease nutrient excretion by 3.3 percent
  - The more efficient.... The lower the emission potential

### IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY

# **Phase/Split Sex Feeding**

- · Avoid providing excess nutrients
- · Phase feeding throughout life cycle
  - Ammonia 45% reductionOdors 55% reduction



IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY Extension and Outreach

### **Lowering Crude Protein**

- Reduce crude protein 3.5 to 4.5%
- Supply amino acids using supplements
  - Ammonia 40-60% reduction
  - Hydrogen Sulfide 30-40% reduction
  - Odors 30-40% reduction

# **Adding Fermentable Carbs**

- Soybean hulls, wheat bran or midds or sugar beet pulp
- Changes how nitrogen is excreted (more in feces rather than urine)
- 10% SB hulls and 3.4% fat
  - Ammonia 20% reduction
  - Hydrogen sulfide 32% reduction
  - Odor 11% reduction

### IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY

## **Feed Manufacturing**

- Particle size 650 to 750 microns
   Reduced nitrogen excreted 20 to 24%
- Pelleting or Fat

   Reduces dust
- Liquid feeding
  - Reduced odors 23 to 31%



IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY

### Other

- · Feed wastage
  - Adds nutrients directly to manure
  - Increase bacterial activity
- Water
  - High sulfur can impact H2S emission

### **Dietary Manipulation - Pros**

- Easily implemented when done in consultation with a nutritionist
- · Many options impact multiple emitants
- Changes may cost very little

#### IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY Extension and Outreach

### **Dietary Manipulation - Cons**

- Additive and feedstuff availability and costs my fluctuate
- Nutritional needs change as the pig grows and may lead to more complex feed choices.

IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY Extension and Outreach

#### **Effectiveness** Comp ductio NH3 30-50% 30-50% H2S Odor 20-50% Particulate Matter 50 to 80% Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) Cost \$ Varies greatly with option selected. IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY Extension and Outreach

### 4

## **For Further Information:**

National Pork Board



 If you are an educator and wish to have copies of powerpoint files, contact Jay Harmon (jharmon@iastate.edu).