# **On-Farm Soybean Fertilizer Trials**

#### **RFR-A1448**

Jim Fawcett, extension field
agronomist (retired)
Antonio Mallarino, professor
Department of Agronomy
Josh Sievers, Northwest Farm, superintendent
Micah Smidt, Northern Farm, superintendent
Matt Schnabel, Northern Farm, ag specialist
Lance Miller, Southeast Farm, ag specialist

## Introduction

All cropping systems require fertilizer inputs in order to maintain crop yields. Farmers continue to search for ways to increase soybean yields, such as applying micronutrients and using foliar applications of fertilizer. Although micronutrients are just as essential to plant growth as macronutrients, past research has indicated most Iowa soils can supply the micronutrient needs of soybeans.

## **Materials and Methods**

In 2014, five trials utilizing various methods of fertilizing soybeans were investigated (Table 1). All trials were conducted on-farm by farmer cooperators using the farmers' equipment. Strips were arranged in a randomized complete block design with at least three replications per treatment. Strip length and width varied from field to field depending on equipment size and size of field. All strips were machine harvested for grain yield.

In Trial 1, a foliar application of fertilizer containing sulfur (S), boron (B), manganese (Mn), and zinc (Zn) to soybeans at the R1 growth stage was compared with untreated soybeans. Soil samples were collected to a 6-in. depth before spraying on the day of the foliar application and analyzed for nutrients. All analyses were made on dried soil. In Trial 2, a foliar application of fertilizer containing nitrogen (N), S, B, Mn, and Zn to soybeans at

the V6 stage was compared with untreated soybeans. In Trials 3 and 4, a foliar application of fertilizer containing 30 lb/acre N and 4 lb/acre S was compared with untreated soybeans. In Trial 5, soybeans planted with two application rates of starter fertilizer (five and eight gallons/acre of 3-18-18) were compared with soybeans planted without starter. The starter was applied 3 in. to the side and 0.75 in. below the seed. Prior to planting, the soil in this field tested high in phosphorus (25 ppm) and low in potassium (150 ppm).

#### **Results and Discussion**

No increase in soybean yields was seen with the foliar application of micronutrients in either Trial 1 or 2 (Table 2). This agrees with past research that has shown yield increases are not common with micronutrient applications to soybeans on most of Iowa's soils. In 2013, in on-farm trials investigating foliar applications of micronutrients to soybeans, there was a yield increase in only one of 10 trials. The percent organic matter in the soil in Trial 1 was 8.4 percent (Table 3), which would likely be more than sufficient to supply the micronutrient needs of the crop.

With the soil test in Trial 1, no yield increase from zinc and manganese were expected because soil levels were high according to interpretations in Iowa (only for zinc) and the north-central region. There are no interpretations for boron in Iowa, and we should have seen a yield increase in this trial according to interpretations in Illinois, but no increase according to interpretations in South Dakota. Results will be used with other ongoing research to establish interpretations.

There was no effect of the foliar application of N and S to soybeans in Trials 3 and 4. Neither application rate of the starter fertilizer in Trial 5 had any effect on soybean yield.

Table 1. Variety, row spacing, planting date, planting population, previous crop, and tillage practices in onfarm soybean fertilizer trials in 2014.

				Row		Planting		
Exp.				spacing	Planting	population	Previous	
no.	Trial	County	Variety	(in.)	date	(seeds/A)	crop	Tillage
		Cerro	Croplan					
140402	1	Gordo	R2T2240	30	5/24/14	160,000	Corn	No-till
			Pioneer			·		
140163	2	Lyon	92Y22	30	5/15/14	140,000	Corn	Strip till
		•	Asgrow					•
140715	3	Louisa	3832	30	5/3/14	150,000	Corn	Conventional
		Des	Asgrow					
140717	4	Moines	3832	30	5/4/14	150,000	Corn	Conventional
			AgVenture					
140405	5	Worth	22E1	30	5/18/14	148,000	Corn	Conventional

Table 2. Yield from on-farm soybean fertilizer trials in 2014.

			Yield (bu/A)			
Exp. no.	Trial	Treatment	Treatment	Control	Response	P-value <sup>x</sup>
140402	1	Micro Mix [1 qt/A Winfield Max-in ZMB® (S, B,				
		Mn, Zn) + 1 pt/A Max-in Boron] @ R1	55	55	0	0.77
140163	2	1 qt/A Brandt Smart Trio <sup>®</sup> (4% N, 3% S, 0.25% B,				
		3% Mn, 3% Zn) @ V6	55	54	1	0.49
		75 lb/A of 40-0-0-5.5 (30 lb/A N & 4 lb/A S)				
140715	3	@ R3	76	78	-2	0.49
		75 lb/A of 40-0-0-5.5 (30 lb/A N & 4 lb/A S)				
140717	4	@ R3	62	64	-2	0.18

 $<sup>^{</sup>x}$ P-Value = the calculated probability that the difference in yields can be attributed to the treatments and not other factors. For example, if a trial has a P-Value of 0.10, then we are 90 percent confident the yield differences are in response to treatments. For P = 0.05, we would be 95 percent confident.

Table 2. Yield from on-farm soybean fertilizer trials in 2014, continued.

Exp.			Yield	
no.	Trial	Treatment	(bu/A) <sup>x</sup>	P-value <sup>y</sup>
140405	5	8 gal/A Starter	48 a	0.25
		5 gal/A Starter	46 a	
		Control	46 a	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>x</sup>Values denoted with the same letter are not significantly different at the significance level 0.05.

Table 3. Soil analysis from one on-farm soybean micronutrient trial in 2014.

	Organic							
Exp.		Phosphorus	Potassium		matter	Boron	Zinc	Man-ganese
no.	Trial	(ppm) <sup>x</sup>	(ppm) <sup>x</sup>	pН	(%)	(ppm) <sup>y</sup>	(ppm) <sup>c</sup>	(ppm) <sup>z</sup>
140402	1	47	248	6.7	8 4	1 3	1.5	8 9

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>x</sup>Mehlich- 3 method

 $<sup>^{</sup>y}$ P-Value = the calculated probability that the difference in yields can be attributed to the treatments and not other factors. For example, if a trial has a P-Value of 0.10, then we are 90 percent confident the yield differences are in response to treatments. For P = 0.05, we would be 95 percent confident.

yHot-water method

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>z</sup>DTPA method