

Predicting Economic Optimal Nitrogen Rate with the Anaerobic Potentially Mineralizable Nitrogen Test

Jason D. Clark,* Fabián G. Fernández, Kristen S. Veum, James J. Camberato, Paul R. Carter, Richard B. Ferguson, David W. Franzen, Daniel E. Kaiser, Newell R. Kitchen, Carrie A. M. Laboski, Emerson D. Nafziger, Carl J. Rosen, John E. Sawyer, and John F. Shanahan

ABSTRACT

Estimates of mineralizable N with the anaerobic potentially mineralizable N (PMN_{an}) test could improve predictions of corn (*Zea mays* L.) economic optimal N rate (EONR). A study across eight US midwestern states was conducted to quantify the predictability of EONR for single and split N applications by PMN_{an}. Treatment factors included different soil sample timings (pre-plant and V5 development stage), planting N rates (0 and 180 kg N ha⁻¹), and incubation lengths (7, 14, and 28 d) with and without initial soil NH₄-N included with PMN_{an}. Soil was sampled (0–30 cm depth) before planting and N application and at V5 where 0 or 180 kg N ha⁻¹ were applied at planting. Evaluating across all soils, PMN_{an} was a weak predictor of EONR ($R^2 \leq 0.08$; RMSE, ≥ 67 kg N ha⁻¹), but the predictability improved (15%) when soils were grouped by texture. Using PMN_{an} and initial soil NH₄-N as separate explanatory variables improved EONR predictability (11–20%) in fine-textured soils only. Delaying PMN_{an} sampling from pre-plant to V5 regardless of N fertilization improved EONR predictability by 25% in only coarse-textured soils. Increasing PMN_{an} incubations beyond 7 d modestly improved EONR predictability (R^2 increased ≤ 0.18 , and RMSE was reduced ≤ 7 kg N ha⁻¹). Alone, PMN_{an} predicts EONR poorly, and the improvements from partitioning soils by texture and including initial soil NH₄-N were relatively low ($R^2 \leq 0.33$; RMSE ≥ 68 kg N ha⁻¹) compared with other tools for N fertilizer recommendations.

NITROGEN IS the nutrient that most often limits grain yield and needs to be supplied by application of fertilizer, manures, or other nutrient sources. In commercial agriculture, the two main sources of N for corn are N derived from mineralization of soil organic matter and N derived from synthetic N fertilizers (Mikha et al., 2006; O'Leary et al., 2002). Corn plants normally take up 110 (25% quartile) to 225 kg N ha⁻¹ (75% quartile) (mean, 170 kg N ha⁻¹) during the growing season (Ciampitti and Vyn, 2012). The process of mineralization can contribute 20 to 100% of this yearly crop N requirement, with the remainder needing to be supplied by another source, such as synthetic fertilizers (Broadbent and Hauck, 1984; Khan et al., 2001; Roberts et al., 2011; Ros et al., 2011; Yost et al., 2012). However, predicting the amount of N that will be mineralized, to aid in determining how much N fertilizer to apply, is challenging because this process is influenced by many factors that are difficult to predict. For example, weather is an influential factor for which we have no control and poses a substantial challenge for proper N management. Nitrogen management guidelines could be greatly improved by increasing our ability to estimate the contribution of N to a corn crop from mineralization.

The daily N mineralization rate can be as high as 10 kg N ha⁻¹, with an average of 1.19 kg N ha⁻¹ in the early part of the growing season (Fernández et al., 2017). However, this mineralization rate decreases as the season progresses while N uptake by the crop increases (Ritchie et al., 1996). If there is

Core Ideas

- Anaerobic potentially mineralizable N (PMN_{an}) is a weak predictor of economic optimal N rate (EONR).
- Predictability of EONR by PMN_{an} improves when accounting for soil texture.
- For coarse-textured soils, PMN_{an} at V5 improves EONR predictability.
- Increasing incubation length does not substantially improve EONR predictability.
- PMN_{an} alone is not a reliable management tool for N rate determination.

J.D. Clark, South Dakota State Univ., 1148 Medary Ave., Brookings, SD 57007; F.G. Fernández, D.E. Kaiser, C.J. Rosen, Univ. of Minnesota, 1991 Upper Buford Circle, St. Paul, MN 55108; K.S. Veum, N.R. Kitchen, USDA-ARS Cropping Systems and Water Quality Research Unit, Columbia, MO 65211; J.J. Camberato, Purdue Univ., Lilly 3-365, West Lafayette, IN 47907; P.R. Carter, DuPont Pioneer, 7100 NW 62nd Ave., P.O. Box 1000, Johnston, IA 50131; D.W. Franzen, North Dakota State Univ., PO Box 6050, Fargo, ND 58108; R.B. Ferguson, Univ. of Nebraska, Keim 367, Lincoln NE 68583; C.A.M. Laboski, Univ. of Wisconsin-Madison, 1525 Observatory Dr., Madison, WI 53706; E.D. Nafziger, Univ. of Illinois, W-301 Turner Hall, 1102 S. Goodwin, Urbana, IL 61801; J.E. Sawyer, Iowa State Univ., 3208 Agronomy Hall, Ames, IA 50011; J.F. Shanahan, Fortigen, 6807 Ridge Rd, Lincoln, NE 68512. Received 25 Mar. 2019. Accepted 17 June 2019. *Corresponding author (Jason.D.Clark@sdsu.edu).

Abbreviations: EONR, economic optimal N rate; EONR_{single}, economic optimal N rate using a single N application; EONR_{split}, economic optimal N rate using a split N application; NH₄-N_{inc}, NH₄-N after the soil was incubated for 7, 14, or 28 d; NH₄-N_{initial}, initial NH₄-N in the soil; PMN_{an}, anaerobic potentially mineralizable N; PP_{0N}, pre-plant soil sampling with 0 kg N ha⁻¹ applied at planting; V5_{0N}, V5 soil sampling with 0 kg N ha⁻¹ applied at planting; V5_{180N}, V5 soil sampling with 180 kg N ha⁻¹ applied at planting.

Published in *Agron. J.* 111:1–10 (2019)

doi:10.2134/agronj2019.03.0224

Available freely online through the author-supported open access option

© 2019 The author(s).

This is an open access article distributed under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>)

not enough N in the soil from mineralization, then N fertilizer is needed for optimal grain yield to be achieved. However, the N use efficiency of corn and profitability for the grower decreases when N fertilizer is overapplied. Excess N fertilizer is also susceptible to environmental losses that can cause negative environmental effects, including contamination of drinking water, eutrophication of surface waters (Helmers et al., 2012; McCasland et al., 2012; Mitsch et al., 2001; Ribaud et al., 2011), reduced air quality, and global warming (Cavigelli et al., 2012; USEPA, 2018). Conversely, corn grain yield and grower profit are reduced if insufficient N is applied. Despite these significant consequences, the rate of N fertilizer applied to corn fields in the US Midwest is often determined by N guideline tools developed by Land Grant Universities that do not include input for estimates of potential soil N mineralization but rather indirectly include mineralization effects on optimal N rate. Such N management tools include the pre-sidedress soil nitrate test (Andraski and Bundy, 2002; Binford et al., 1992; Fox et al., 1989; Magdoff et al., 1984), the maximum return to N approach (Sawyer et al., 2006), or yield goal formula (Lory and Scharf, 2003; Stanford, 1973). Public and private model approaches, such as HybridMaize (Yang et al., 2004), Encirca (DuPont Pioneer Johnston, IA), Climate FieldView (The Climate Corp., St. Louis, MO), and Adapt-N (Yara International ASA Oslo, Norway), are being evaluated and include estimates of mineralization and other soil processes. The strength and weaknesses of a number of these tools were recently compared (Morris et al., 2018). Although these approaches and tools differ, better predictability of mineralization could help improve N management tools that result in improved N fertilizer use efficiency, increased grower profits, and reduced environmental impact.

Many field and laboratory tests have been developed that measure N mineralization (Bundy and Meisinger, 1994; Hart, 1994; Kolberg et al., 1997; Raison et al., 1987; Stanford and Smith, 1972), each with its advantages and disadvantages. The anaerobic potentially mineralizable N (PMN_{an}) test has been considered the most promising because of its ease of use and reliability (Waring and Bremner, 1964). The PMN_{an} test is simple and rapid because it can be conducted with both air-dried or field-moist soils, no amendments or preliminary analyses are needed to determine the amount of water required for incubation, and only NH_4-N measurements are needed (Keeney and Bremner, 1966). The PMN_{an} test also does not require aeration of samples or long incubation periods because mineralization is more rapid in anaerobic conditions (Waring and Bremner, 1964). These advantages make the PMN_{an} test a potentially useful N management tool to account for N derived from mineralization.

Additional work is needed to contribute to the limited number of studies that have looked at improving predictions of crop responses by including PMN_{an} as a predictive variable. Some of the findings to this point include the 7-d PMN_{an} test correlating with N uptake of ryegrass (*Lolium multiflorum*) (Keeney and Bremner, 1966) and rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) (Angus et al., 1994). The response of winter wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) to different N rates has also been well correlated ($R^2 = 0.87$) with PMN_{an} (Christensen et al., 1999). However, in relating PMN_{an} to economic optimal N rate (EONR) of corn, the correlations have been much weaker ($R^2 = 0.33$) (Williams et al., 2007). Potential ways to improve these correlations may be accomplished by

including initial NH_4^+ in the soil with PMN_{an} and by grouping soils by their geographic location because including these factors have improved the correlations between PMN_{an} and aerobic mineralization (Bushong et al., 2007; Mariano et al., 2013). Grouping soils in the southeastern United States by their physical characteristics has also led to improvements in the correlations between PMN_{an} and corn EONR (Williams et al., 2007). However, studies are lacking on whether similar improvements occur in the US Midwest by grouping soils by soil physical properties and/or including initial soil NH_4-N . Other important variables that may improve the correlation of PMN_{an} to EONR, such as time of soil sampling, sampling soil after N application, and increasing the incubation length, should be explored.

The most common soil sample timing used for PMN_{an} analysis is within 2 wk of planting. In the US Midwest, N mineralized early in the season is susceptible to loss due to limited corn N uptake and excessive rainfall. For example, early spring rainfall in Minnesota results in >60% of the annual water drainage and NO_3-N lost to subsurface drainage or leached below the root zone (MPCA, 2013; Randall and Vetsch, 2005; Randall et al., 2003a, 2003b; Struffert et al., 2016). Delaying PMN_{an} soil sampling to closer to when corn N uptake increases and N loss potential (from mineralization and fertilizer) decreases might improve the correlation between EONR and PMN_{an} . Others have reported that soil sample timing can affect results of N-mineralization indices (Arrobas et al., 2012; Clark et al., 2019; Culman et al., 2013). The predictability of EONR with mineralizable N estimates from later soil samplings have not been evaluated.

Measuring PMN_{an} before fertilizer applications can pose difficulties. These difficulties occur because N fertilizer can decrease N mineralization from soil organic matter and stimulate crop residue decomposition, which results in greater amounts of N mineralization (Chen et al., 2014; Conde et al., 2005; Hamer and Marschner, 2005; Kuzyakov et al., 2000; Raun et al., 1998; Steinbach et al., 2004). This potential increase in N mineralization from the N fertilizer application might reduce the predictability of EONR with the PMN_{an} test when sampling is performed before fertilizer application. Nitrogen fertilizer applications have reduced PMN_{an} of in-season soil samples in some sites and increased it in others relative to PMN_{an} measured before fertilization, depending on soil and weather conditions (Clark et al., 2018).

The relationship of PMN_{an} after N fertilization has not yet been related to EONR. Applying N as a single pre-plant application or splitting it with some N applied pre-plant and the rest while corn is growing can result in changes in EONR (Gehl et al., 2005; Kablan et al., 2017; Rasse et al., 1999; Tremblay et al., 2012; Walsh et al., 2012; Xie et al., 2013). These differences in EONR due to N application timing may also affect the predictability of EONR with PMN_{an} . The relationship between PMN_{an} and EONR of single N applications has been evaluated in the climates of the northeastern and southeastern United States (Fox and Piekielek, 1984; Williams et al., 2007) but not in the midwestern United States. Furthermore, work is lacking relating PMN_{an} to EONR of split N applications.

A 7-d incubation has been part of the standard method when relating PMN_{an} to EONR. However, extending the incubation length of the PMN_{an} test may improve the correlation

with EONR. There is evidence that extending the incubation length beyond 7 d increases PMN_{an} more as the silt, clay, and soil organic matter content increases and that correlations between PMN_{an} and soil and weather parameters are stronger with longer incubation lengths (Clark et al., 2019). These varying amounts of greater PMN_{an} from longer incubation lengths, depending on soil physical properties, may help separate the ability of different soils to supply N to corn and increase the predictability of EONR with PMN_{an} . For example, there was an improvement in the correlation between PMN_{an} and biomass and N uptake of rice when incubation length was extended to 21-d in Australia (Russell et al., 2006). Studies relating EONR to PMN_{an} from incubations longer than 7 d are lacking for corn in the US Midwest.

Given these points and the need to improve corn EONR predictions, research was conducted (i) to evaluate the PMN_{an} test as a tool to predict EONR of single and split N applications across varying soil and weather conditions in the US Midwest and (ii) to determine the effect of different variables (soil sample timings, N fertilizer rates, incubation lengths, soil texture, and initial soil NH_4-N) on improving the prediction of EONR with the PMN_{an} test.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Experimental Design

This study was conducted in the following US Midwest states: Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, North Dakota, and Wisconsin. Two experimental sites were established in each state in 2014 and 2015, resulting in 32 site-years of data. Detailed descriptions of experimental sites, agronomic practices, and research protocol are provided in Kitchen et al. (2017). Briefly, a standard protocol for the experimental design was used across all experimental sites that included N fertilizer source, rate, and application timing; plant and soil sample collection method and timing; and weather data collection. A randomized complete block design was used with four replications at each site. Eight N rates (0–315 kg N ha⁻¹ in 45 kg N ha⁻¹ increments) were applied as single N applications or split N applications to establish grain yield response curves. Single N applications were performed at planting, and split applications included 45 kg N ha⁻¹ applied at planting with the remainder applied at the V9 ± 1 corn development stage (2015 North Dakota sites received N between V5 and V8) (Ritchie et al., 1996). Ammonium nitrate (34% N) was broadcast on the soil surface.

Soil Sampling and Analysis

At each site, a soil characterization to 120 cm was performed before planting. Soil cores were divided by horizons and evaluated for soil texture, total N, and soil organic matter as described in Kitchen et al. (2017). Weighted averages were calculated for the various soil measurements using the depth of each horizon within the 0- to 30-cm soil depth. Additionally, each year, a 10-core composite soil sample from each replication was obtained 2 to 4 wk before planting and fertilization [pre-plant soil sampling with 0 kg N ha⁻¹ applied at planting (PP_{0N})], and a six-core (1.9 cm i.d.) composite soil sample was taken at the V5 ± 1 corn development stage from the 0 ($V5_{0N}$) and 180 ($V5_{180N}$) kg N ha⁻¹ treatments. These soil samples were obtained to a depth of 30 cm and dried (≤32°C) and ground to pass through a 2-mm sieve. Anaerobic potentially mineralizable N was

quantified by determining the extractable NH_4-N in the soil before incubation ($NH_4-N_{initial}$) and subtracting it from the extractable NH_4-N after the soil was incubated for 7, 14, or 28 d (NH_4-N_{inc}) (i.e., $PMN_{an} = NH_4-N_{inc} - NH_4-N_{initial}$) (Bundy and Meisinger, 1994). The $NH_4-N_{initial}$ was determined by combining 4.0 g of soil with 20 mL of 2 M KCl in 50-mL Falcon tubes (Corning Inc., Corning, NY), shaking for 30 min, filtering the solution through a washed 0.45- μ m syringe filter disk, and storing the solution in a microtube at -80°C until NH_4-N analysis could be completed using the Berthelot method (Rhine et al., 1998) with a Glomax-Multi Detection System plate reader (Promega Biosystems, Inc., Sunnyvale, CA). The NH_4-N_{inc} was determined by combining 4.0 g of soil with 20 mL of ultrapure water in 50-mL Falcon tubes. The Falcon tubes were then capped and incubated for 7, 14, and 28 d at 40°C (Keeney and Bremner, 1966). Next, 20 mL of 4 M KCl was added to the solution to obtain a final extractant concentration of 2 M KCl. The same extraction method to determine $NH_4-N_{initial}$ was also used to determine extractable NH_4-N of NH_4-N_{inc} .

Plant Sampling

Six corn plants were collected per experimental unit from the center two crop-rows at physiological maturity (R6) by clipping at the soil surface. The ears were shucked and separated from the stover (stalks plus leaves). All plant materials were dried at 60°C to constant mass. Ears were then shelled, and grain and cob samples were weighed separately to determine dry matter yield. Grain yield was determined by harvesting the middle two rows of each experimental unit and adjusting grain weight to 155 g kg⁻¹ moisture and including the moisture-adjusted weight of the grain collected at R6 from each experimental unit.

Statistical Analysis

SAS software version 9.4 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC) was used to complete all statistical analyses. The means and standard deviations of PMN_{an} , NH_4-N , EONR, and soil characteristics were determined using the PROC MEANS procedure. Using PROC REG and PROC NLIN procedures, the linear, linear-plateau, quadratic, and quadratic-plateau models were used to determine corn N response to total N applied separately for single and split N applications (Cerrato and Blackmer, 1990; Sawyer et al., 2006; Scharf et al., 2005). Models were compared using the metrics of model probability significance, coefficient of determination, and RMSE. The quadratic-plateau model performed the best in most sites. There were a few sites where the linear-plateau or quadratic models had slightly better metrics, but the improvement in R^2 values was ≤0.03 in all sites. Because of the small change in R^2 and for simplification, the quadratic-plateau model was used. The EONR for single and split N applications was calculated using an N price of US\$0.88 kg⁻¹ (US\$0.40 lb⁻¹) and a corn grain price of US\$0.158 kg⁻¹ (US\$4.00 bu⁻¹). Sites were identified as nonresponsive, and their EONR was set at 0 kg N ha⁻¹ when no plateau was reached; the quadratic-plateau model had an α value >0.10. The EONR was set to the maximum N rate applied (315 kg N ha⁻¹) if no plateau was reached and a linear model best described the N response. Four of the 32 experimental sites received irrigation. If the irrigation water had nitrate-N concentrations >10 mg L⁻¹, that contribution (41–42 kg N ha⁻¹ for two of the four sites) was added to the calculated EONR.

Table 1. Economic optimum N rate (EONR) for single (EONR_{single}) and split N applications (EONR_{split}) and soil characteristics across 32 site-years and partitioned by soil texture (coarse, medium, and fine).

| Texture | EONR _{single} | EONR _{split} | Sand | Silt | Clay | SOM† | Total N |
|-----------|------------------------|-----------------------|---------|---------|---------|------------|-------------|
| | kg N ha ⁻¹ | | | | | | |
| All soils | 180 ± 78‡ | 167 ± 65 | 26 ± 25 | 50 ± 19 | 24 ± 11 | 25.7 ± 10 | 1.39 ± 0.58 |
| Coarse | 218 ± 66 | 186 ± 20 | 67 ± 14 | 24 ± 10 | 10 ± 5 | 15.6 ± 5.5 | 0.87 ± 0.29 |
| Medium | 172 ± 80 | 157 ± 74 | 19 ± 17 | 60 ± 17 | 21 ± 3 | 24.1 ± 6.2 | 1.30 ± 0.36 |
| Fine | 169 ± 77 | 167 ± 69 | 12 ± 10 | 53 ± 12 | 35 ± 8 | 33.3 ± 9.9 | 1.79 ± 0.64 |

† Soil organic matter.

‡ Values are means ± SD.

To evaluate differences in EONR of single and split N applications, the N rates where the profit would be ±US\$2.47 of EONR were determined (excluding the sites where there was no response to N and where the response was linear). The difference between the upper and lower N limits were then averaged across experimental sites and N applications. This approach resulted in significant differences between the EONR of single and split N applications at ±10 kg N ha⁻¹.

The predictability of EONR rate using a single N application (EONR_{single}) and EONR using a split N application (EONR_{split}) by PMN_{an}, NH₄-N_{inc}, and NH₄-N_{initial} with PMN_{an} as two separate variables was determined using the PROC REG procedure. Residuals within experimental units showed that normality and constant variance assumptions were met. Linear and quadratic models were evaluated. The highest-order model with an $\alpha < 0.05$ was selected. The R^2 and RMSE values were the metrics used to compare the predictability of EONR_{single} and EONR_{split} with PMN_{an} from different soil sample timings, N fertilizer rates, and incubation lengths. The same procedure was used to determine the best explanatory variable(s) with all sites in one category and when soils were separated into coarse-, medium-, and fine-texture categories. The grouping of the soils into the three texture categories followed the approach used by Tonitto et al. (2006) and Tremblay et al. (2012); coarse textures included sandy loam, loamy sand, sandy clay loam, sandy clay, and sand soils; medium textures included loam, silt loam, and silt soils; and fine textures included clay, silty clay, silty clay loam, and clay loam soils. Using this grouping, there were 26 replications in the coarse-, 54 in the medium-, and 48 in the fine-textured soil groupings for predicting EONR with PMN_{an}. However, due to missing samples there were four fewer replications in the coarse- and fine-textured soils groups for the PP_{0N} sample timing.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The EONR for single and split N applications across the 32 sites was highly variable, ranging between 0 and 315 kg N ha⁻¹, with a mean of 180 kg N ha⁻¹ for EONR_{single} and 167 kg N ha⁻¹ for EONR_{split} (Table 1). The EONR_{single} was less than EONR_{split} in eight sites (12–115 kg N ha⁻¹ less with a mean of 42 kg N ha⁻¹), EONR_{split} was less than EONR_{single} in 17 sites (13–52 kg N ha⁻¹ less with a mean of 37 kg N ha⁻¹), and in seven sites there was no statistical difference (difference between EONRs was less than or equal to ±10 kg N ha⁻¹). The wide range in EONR for single and split N applications, along with differences in PMN_{an} due to sample timing, N rate, and incubation length in this study (Table 2), provide an ideal dataset to evaluate the relationship between PMN_{an} and the EONR of different N application timings.

Predicting Economic Optimal N Rate with PMN_{an}

Statistically significant relationships between PMN_{an} and EONR_{single} and EONR_{split} were observed at the pre-plant sample timing ($R^2 = 0.04$ – 0.08 ; RMSE = 68–78 kg N ha⁻¹) when evaluated across all sites for the 7-, 14-, and 28-d incubations (Tables 3 and 4). Despite statistical significance, the R^2 values were all very small at <0.10 with large RMSEs (>65 kg N ha⁻¹) and indicate a poor relationship between PMN_{an} and EONR. Delaying soil sampling for PMN_{an} analysis to V5 regardless of N fertilizer application rate (V5_{0N} and V5_{180N}) produced no significant relationships with EONR_{single} and EONR_{split} except for predicting EONR_{split} ($R^2 = 0.07$; RMSE = 70 kg N ha⁻¹) using samples from the no-N control plots collected at V5 when incubated for 28 d. Delaying soil sampling until after early-season N losses may have occurred (planting to V5 corn development stage) and measuring PMN_{an} from fertilized soil (V5_{180N}) did not improve the predictability of EONR with the PMN_{an} test when evaluated across all sites. This may be because the mineralizable N pool changes as the growing season progresses (Arrobas et al., 2012; Culman et al., 2013) depending on cropping systems, management practices, and the influence of environmental conditions such as soil temperature and moisture (Kuzyakov, 2002; Cabrera et al., 2005; Conde et al., 2005; Kuzyakova et al., 2006; Wu et al., 2008). Nitrogen fertilizer applications also reduced mean PMN_{an} (V5_{180N} vs. V5_{0N}), as observed in a related study (Clark et al., 2018), reduced mineralization of soil organic matter (Mahal et al., 2019), and often increase variability in N mineralization (Fernández et al., 2017; Kuzyakova et al., 2006; Ma et al., 1999). The convergence of these factors also likely contributed to the greater variability in PMN_{an} associated with delayed soil sample timing and N fertilization in our study and led to the reduction in predictability of EONR by PMN_{an}.

Soil texture can influence N mineralization and the ability of PMN_{an} to relate to EONR (Bushong et al., 2007; Mariano et al., 2013; Six et al., 2002). For example, clay particles can form aggregates with organic matter that protect it from mineralization (Bloem et al., 1994; Kuzyakova et al., 2006; Shen et al., 1989), and NH₄⁺ produced during PMN_{an} analysis can be fixed by clay particles that are abundant in fine-textured soils (Russell et al., 2006). For these reasons, we partitioned the soils in our study into three major texture categories (coarse, medium, and fine).

The predictability of EONR_{single} with PMN_{an} improved for coarse-textured soils compared with the analysis across all sites but only when using the V5 sample timing (Tables 3 and 4). Using the PP_{0N} sample timing did not improve predictions of EONR_{single} because it may have overestimated plant available N from mineralization because some of the mineralized N was lost to leaching. Collection of soil samples at V5 is typically after the time period when early season N losses can occur, resulting

in a more accurate estimation of mineralizable N available for the crop and therefore providing an improved prediction of $EONR_{single}$ in coarse-textured soils. Values of R^2 improved at the V5 sample timing regardless of N rate (0 and 180 kg N ha⁻¹) and were greatest with the 7- and 14-d incubations. Anaerobic potentially mineralizable N in coarse-textured soils was unable to predict $EONR_{split}$ because there were no significant relationships between $EONR_{split}$ and PMN_{an} regardless of the incubation length. This result indicates that, for coarse-textured soils where split N applications are often used, the PMN_{an} test alone cannot be used to predict $EONR_{split}$ reliably.

The predictability of $EONR_{single}$ and $EONR_{split}$ with PMN_{an} improved for medium-textured soils relative to analysis across all sites, especially for PMN_{an} predictions from the PP_{0N} sample timing (Tables 3 and 4). These results were similar regardless of incubation length ($R^2 = 0.23-0.25$; RMSE = 69–76 kg N ha⁻¹). The V5 sample timing also had significant relationships with $EONR_{split}$ but only for the 7-d incubation in the $V5_{0N}$ sampling ($R^2 = 0.10$; RMSE = 75 kg N ha⁻¹) and for the 14-d incubation in the $V5_{180N}$ sampling ($R^2 = 0.06$; RMSE = 77 kg N ha⁻¹). The later V5 sample timings regardless of at planting N fertilization reduced R^2 by 0.16, on average. As with the evaluation across all sites, these results show that delaying soil sampling to V5 in medium-textured soils has a minimal ability to improve the predictability of $EONR$.

There was no relationship between $EONR_{single}$ or $EONR_{split}$ and PMN_{an} for fine-textured soils (Tables 3 and 4). This result indicates that, regardless of PMN_{an} sample timing, N rate, and incubation length, PMN_{an} alone should not be used to predict $EONR$ of fine-textured soils. Fine-textured soils have greater clay content, organic matter, and PMN_{an} compared with coarse- and medium-textured soils (Tables 1 and 2). The larger PMN_{an} (greater NH_4^+ in the soil solution) may have suppressed mineralization and fixed more NH_4^+ within smectitic soil clays (Russell et al., 2006). Organic matter is also more protected in fine-textured soils because of the complexation of organic matter with clay particles that reduce the mineralization potential (Sierra, 1997). The smaller pore sizes in soils with greater clay content might have also led to more water saturated conditions during the wetter-than-normal conditions in some of our study sites and thus decreased N mineralization and increased denitrification losses during the season. This highlights the difficulty of predicting $EONR$ when only a small portion of the weather conditions over the growing season can be accounted for at the time of sample collection for PMN_{an} analysis.

Predicting Economic Optimal N Rate with NH_4-N_{inc} and $NH_4-N_{initial}$ with PMN_{an}

We also examined a second simplistic model where the $NH_4-N_{initial}$ was not subtracted from NH_4-N_{inc} and a multivariate model where $NH_4-N_{initial}$ with PMN_{an} were used to predict $EONR$ as separate variables. Both approaches produced similar results ($R^2 = 0.06-0.08$; RMSE = 68–79 kg N ha⁻¹) as those described with using only PMN_{an} to predict $EONR_{single}$ and $EONR_{split}$ when analysis was completed across all sites (Tables 3 and 4). Once soils were partitioned by texture categories, differences were found between the effectiveness of the three models.

In coarse-textured soils, the model using NH_4-N_{inc} generally performed better than the multivariate model ($NH_4-N_{initial}$

Table 2. Ammonium N concentration before incubation and anaerobic potentially mineralizable N (PMN_{an}) concentration at different soil sample timings and N rate treatments (PP_{0N} , $V5_{0N}$, and $V5_{180N}$) incubated for 7, 14, and 28 d across 32 site-years and partitioned by soil texture (coarse, medium, and fine). Mean values \pm standard deviation.

| Soil sampling† | Incubation period | Soil texture category | | | |
|----------------|-------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | | All soils | Coarse | Medium | Fine |
| | d | mg N kg ⁻¹ soil | | | |
| | | Initial NH_4-N | | | |
| PP_{0N} | 0 | 8 \pm 4‡ | 6 \pm 4 | 4 \pm 3 | 5 \pm 4 |
| $V5_{0N}$ | 0 | 7 \pm 3 | 10 \pm 10 | 8 \pm 7 | 11 \pm 9 |
| $V5_{180N}$ | 0 | 9 \pm 5 | 8 \pm 7 | 7 \pm 6 | 9 \pm 7 |
| | | Anaerobic potentially mineralizable N | | | |
| PP_{0N} | 7 | 27 \pm 15 | 17 \pm 8 | 25 \pm 13 | 34 \pm 17 |
| | 14 | 38 \pm 19 | 23 \pm 12 | 36 \pm 15 | 48 \pm 20 |
| | 28 | 49 \pm 25 | 28 \pm 13 | 45 \pm 19 | 63 \pm 29 |
| $V5_{0N}$ | 7 | 28 \pm 15 | 20 \pm 13 | 28 \pm 13 | 33 \pm 16 |
| | 14 | 37 \pm 17 | 25 \pm 12 | 37 \pm 15 | 44 \pm 19 |
| | 28 | 49 \pm 23 | 29 \pm 13 | 47 \pm 19 | 62 \pm 24 |
| $V5_{180N}$ | 7 | 23 \pm 15 | 17 \pm 14 | 22 \pm 12 | 27 \pm 18 |
| | 14 | 32 \pm 17 | 23 \pm 15 | 30 \pm 14 | 40 \pm 19 |
| | 28 | 43 \pm 24 | 27 \pm 17 | 39 \pm 16 | 56 \pm 27 |

† PP_{0N} , pre-plant soil sampling with 0 kg N ha⁻¹ applied at planting; $V5_{0N}$, V5 corn development stage with 0 kg N ha⁻¹ applied at planting; $V5_{180N}$, V5 corn development stage with 180 kg N ha⁻¹ applied at planting.

‡ Values are mean \pm SD.

with PMN_{an}) but only when using PMN_{an} from the V5 samplings and predicting $EONR_{single}$. Nonetheless, these significant relationships were never better than PMN_{an} alone (Tables 3 and 4). For medium-textured soils, the multivariate model ($NH_4-N_{initial}$ with PMN_{an}) improved R^2 values for both $EONR$ relationships relative to NH_4-N_{inc} and PMN_{an} alone when using PMN_{an} from the pre-plant sample timing regardless of incubation length, but RMSE values were only minimally improved. The same was true when comparing these models using PMN_{an} from the $V5_{180N}$ sampling that was incubated for 7 and 14 d to predict $EONR_{single}$ and for 14 and 28 d to predict $EONR_{split}$. However, using PMN_{an} in the models from these later V5 PMN_{an} sample timings with or without N fertilizer at planting reduced the R^2 by 0.17, on average, relative to using PMN_{an} from the pre-plant sample timing. These results indicate that the pre-plant sample timing was still the best time to obtain soil samples to test for PMN_{an} and to use to predict $EONR$ in medium-textured soils regardless of the model used to predict $EONR$. In coarse- and medium-textured soils, the differences in predicting $EONR_{single}$ and $EONR_{split}$ among the three PMN_{an} models (PMN_{an} , NH_4-N_{inc} , and $NH_4-N_{initial}$ with PMN_{an}) were small ($\Delta R^2 = \pm 0.16$ and $\Delta RMSE = \pm 3$ kg N ha⁻¹). This similarity suggests that the simpler, less expensive model (NH_4-N_{inc}) would suffice to predict $EONR_{single}$ and $EONR_{split}$ in coarse- and medium-textured soils. In that regard, the NH_4-N_{inc} model would be the simplest for routine analysis and the least expensive because there is no need to quantify $NH_4-N_{initial}$, as with the PMN_{an} and multivariate models ($NH_4-N_{initial}$ with PMN_{an}).

There were no significant relationships with $EONR_{single}$ or $EONR_{split}$ using the simpler models (PMN_{an} and NH_4-N_{inc}) for fine-textured soils (Tables 3 and 4). The multivariate model ($NH_4-N_{initial}$ with PMN_{an}) produced significant and similar relationships ($R^2 = 0.20-0.21$; RMSE = 71 kg N ha⁻¹) when

Table 3. Coefficient of determination averaged across all soils and when partitioned by soil texture (coarse, medium, and fine) for the regression of economic optimum N rate (EONR) of single- and split-N applications against three NH₄-N based models at different soil sample timings (pre-plant and V5 development stage), at planting N rates (0 and 180 kg N ha⁻¹), and incubation lengths (7, 14, and 28 d). The models were 1) anaerobic potentially mineralizable N (PMN_{an}) as a single explanatory variable, 2) NH₄-N from incubated samples (NH₄-N_{inc}) as a single explanatory variable, and 3) PMN_{an} with initial soil NH₄-N as separate explanatory variables (NH₄-N_{initial} with PMN_{an}).

| Variable | EONR | Soil texture category | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------|--------|-----------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|-------|---------|---------|---------|--------|-------|--------|
| | | All soils | | | Coarse | | | Medium | | | Fine | | |
| | | 7 d | 14 d | 28 d | 7 d | 14 d | 28 d | 7 d | 14 d | 28 d | 7 d | 14 d | 28 d |
| PP _{0N} † | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| PMN _{an} | Single | 0.05** | 0.04** | 0.06** | <0.01 | <0.01 | <0.01 | 0.25*** | 0.25*** | 0.24*** | <0.01 | <0.01 | 0.02 |
| NH ₄ -N _{inc} | | 0.05** | 0.04** | 0.06** | 0.01 | 0.02 | <0.01 | 0.21*** | 0.22*** | 0.20*** | <0.01 | <0.01 | 0.04 |
| NH ₄ -N _{initial} with PMN _{an} | | 0.05* | 0.04* | 0.06** | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.28*** | 0.27*** | 0.29*** | 0.21** | 0.2** | 0.20** |
| PMN _{an} | Split | 0.07** | 0.05** | 0.08** | <0.01 | <0.01 | <0.01 | 0.23*** | 0.23*** | 0.25*** | <0.01 | <0.01 | 0.04 |
| NH ₄ -N _{inc} | | 0.06** | 0.05** | 0.07** | 0.02 | <0.01 | 0.03 | 0.19** | 0.19** | 0.21*** | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.05 |
| NH ₄ -N _{initial} with PMN _{an} | | 0.07** | 0.05** | 0.08** | 0.16 | 0.15 | 0.17 | 0.27*** | 0.25*** | 0.31*** | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.08 |
| V5 _{0N} ‡ | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| PMN _{an} | Single | <0.01 | <0.01 | 0.02 | 0.14* | 0.33** | 0.09 | 0.03 | <0.01 | 0.01 | <0.01 | <0.01 | 0.01 |
| NH ₄ -N _{inc} | | <0.01 | <0.01 | 0.01 | 0.14* | 0.32** | 0.08 | 0.02 | <0.01 | 0.01 | <0.01 | <0.01 | <0.01 |
| NH ₄ -N _{initial} with PMN _{an} | | <0.01 | <0.01 | 0.02 | 0.14 | 0.19* | 0.10 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.04 |
| PMN _{an} | Split | <0.01 | <0.01 | 0.07** | <0.01 | <0.01 | 0.02 | 0.10** | <0.01 | <0.01 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.05 |
| NH ₄ -N _{inc} | | <0.01 | <0.01 | 0.06** | <0.01 | <0.01 | 0.02 | 0.02 | <0.01 | <0.01 | 0.05 | 0.03 | 0.04 |
| NH ₄ -N _{initial} with PMN _{an} | | <0.01 | <0.01 | 0.07** | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.06 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.17* | 0.08 | 0.09 |
| V5 _{180N} § | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| PMN _{an} | Single | 0.02 | <0.01 | <0.01 | 0.28** | 0.17** | 0.12* | <0.01 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.03 | <0.01 | <0.01 |
| NH ₄ -N _{inc} | | 0.02 | <0.01 | <0.01 | 0.26** | 0.14* | 0.09 | 0.13** | 0.08** | 0.04 | 0.04 | <0.01 | <0.01 |
| NH ₄ -N _{initial} with PMN _{an} | | 0.02 | <0.01 | <0.01 | 0.15 | 0.19* | 0.16 | 0.15** | 0.01* | 0.07 | 0.04 | <0.01 | <0.01 |
| PMN _{an} | Split | 0.01 | <0.01 | <0.01 | 0.02 | 0.02 | <0.01 | <0.01 | 0.06* | 0.04 | 0.05 | <0.01 | <0.01 |
| NH ₄ -N _{inc} | | 0.02 | <0.01 | <0.01 | <0.01 | <0.01 | <0.01 | 0.03 | 0.09** | 0.06* | 0.06 | <0.01 | <0.01 |
| NH ₄ -N _{initial} with PMN _{an} | | 0.02 | 0.01 | <0.01 | 0.06 | 0.07 | 0.06 | 0.07 | 0.11* | 0.09* | 0.06 | 0.01 | 0.01 |

* Significant at the 0.05 probability level.

** Significant at the 0.01 probability level.

*** Significant at the 0.001 probability level.

† Pre-plant soil sampling with 0 kg N ha⁻¹ applied at planting.

‡ V5 corn development stage with 0 kg N ha⁻¹ applied at planting.

§ V5 corn development stage with 180 kg N ha⁻¹ applied at planting.

predicting EONR_{single} using NH₄-N values from any of the three PP_{0N} incubation lengths. The significant relationship with EONR_{single} of fine-textured soils likely developed because adding NH₄-N_{initial} accounted for some of the inorganic N that was present in the soil at the time of sampling, which the PMN_{an} alone model did not take into consideration. Adding NH₄-N_{initial} separately to the model at V5 (V5_{0N} and V5_{180N}) likely did not provide benefits because the majority of inorganic N at the later V5 sample timing is NO₃⁻-N (Bronson, 2008), which was not considered in these models. However, there was a significant but weaker relationship ($R^2 = 0.17$; RMSE = 75 kg N ha⁻¹) at the 7-d incubation length when PMN_{an} from V5_{0N} sample timing was used in the multivariate model to predict EONR_{split}.

PMN_{an} Incubation Length and Predicting Economic Optimal N Rate

The R^2 and RMSE minimally improved (R^2 improvement <0.07 and RMSE <2 kg N ha⁻¹) when incubation length was increased beyond 7 d regardless of PMN_{an} sample timing, at planting N fertilization, model used (PMN_{an}, NH₄-N_{inc}, and NH₄-N_{initial} with PMN_{an}), and texture category evaluated to predict EONR_{single} and EONR_{split} (Tables 3 and 4). The one exception to this was for coarse-textured soils, where increasing the incubation length of the V5_{0N} sample timing from 7 to 14 d improved R^2 by 0.19 for the 0 kg N ha⁻¹ rate and reduced RMSE

by 7 kg N ha⁻¹. However, the improved predictability was not substantial enough to justify the longer incubation length with a soil sample taken at a time in the growing season (V5) where timely N application decisions are needed. Based on these data, the 7-d incubation should be used because of simplicity.

Partitioning soils by texture, separately accounting for NH₄-N_{initial} and PMN_{an} in the prediction model, and increasing the incubation length generally improved the predictability of EONR, but the R^2 values were still poor. Similar poor correlations between PMN_{an} and EONR ($R^2 = 0.09$ – 0.10) were reported by Fox and Piekielek (1984). Other soil tests, such as the soil NO₃-N test obtained at the V5-V6 corn development stages ($R^2 = 0.28$ – 0.76) (Bundy and Andraski, 1995; Nyiraneza et al., 2010) and the gas pressure test ($R^2 = 0.38$) (Williams et al., 2007), have been reported to have stronger relationships with EONR compared with PMN_{an}. On the other hand, there are soil tests that have produced mixed results. For example, the Illinois soil N test (Khan et al., 2001) showed a good correlation with EONR ($R^2 = 0.81$) in the study by Williams et al. (2007), but others found no correlation (Barker et al., 2006; Laboski et al., 2008; Osterhaus et al., 2008; Sawyer and Barker, 2011). This inconsistent result from using the Illinois soil N test to predict EONR demonstrates the difficulty of finding soil tests that will consistently relate well to EONR and other crop responses regardless of soil and weather conditions.

Table 4. Root mean square error averaged across all soils and when partitioned by soil texture (coarse, medium, and fine) for the regression of economic optimum N rate (EONR) of single- and split-N applications against three $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}$ based models at different soil sample timings (pre-plant and V5 development stage), at planting N rates (0 and 180 kg N ha^{-1}), and incubation lengths (7, 14, and 28 d). The models were: anaerobic potentially mineralizable N (PMN_{an}) as a single explanatory variable, $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}$ from incubated samples ($\text{NH}_4\text{-N}_{\text{inc}}$) as a single explanatory variable, and PMN_{an} with initial soil $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}$ as separate explanatory variables ($\text{NH}_4\text{-N}_{\text{initial}}$ with PMN_{an}).

| Variable | EONR | Soil texture category | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|-----------------------|------|------|--------|------|------|--------|------|------|------|------|------|
| | | All | | | Coarse | | | Medium | | | Fine | | |
| | | 7 d | 14 d | 28 d | 7 d | 14 d | 28 d | 7 d | 14 d | 28 d | 7 d | 14 d | 28 d |
| RMSE (kg N ha^{-1}) | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| $\text{PP}_{0\text{N}}^\dagger$ | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| PMN_{an} | Single | 78 | 78 | 78 | 69 | 69 | 69 | 76 | 76 | 76 | 78 | 78 | 78 |
| $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}_{\text{inc}}$ | | 78 | 78 | 78 | 69 | 69 | 69 | 78 | 78 | 78 | 78 | 78 | 77 |
| $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}_{\text{initial}}$ with PMN_{an} | | 78 | 79 | 78 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 75 | 76 | 75 | 71 | 71 | 71 |
| PMN_{an} | Split | 68 | 68 | 67 | 46 | 46 | 46 | 69 | 70 | 69 | 71 | 71 | 69 |
| $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}_{\text{inc}}$ | | 68 | 69 | 68 | 46 | 46 | 45 | 71 | 71 | 71 | 70 | 71 | 69 |
| $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}_{\text{initial}}$ with PMN_{an} | | 68 | 69 | 68 | 43 | 43 | 43 | 68 | 69 | 67 | 70 | 70 | 69 |
| $\text{V5}_{0\text{N}}^\ddagger$ | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| PMN_{an} | Single | 85 | 85 | 85 | 72 | 65 | 74 | 86 | 87 | 87 | 88 | 88 | 88 |
| $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}_{\text{inc}}$ | | 85 | 85 | 85 | 72 | 65 | 74 | 86 | 87 | 87 | 88 | 88 | 88 |
| $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}_{\text{initial}}$ with PMN_{an} | | 86 | 86 | 85 | 73 | 71 | 75 | 86 | 87 | 87 | 87 | 87 | 87 |
| PMN_{an} | Split | 73 | 73 | 70 | 44 | 44 | 43 | 75 | 78 | 78 | 79 | 79 | 78 |
| $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}_{\text{inc}}$ | | 73 | 73 | 71 | 44 | 44 | 43 | 78 | 79 | 79 | 78 | 79 | 78 |
| $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}_{\text{initial}}$ with PMN_{an} | | 73 | 73 | 71 | 44 | 44 | 43 | 78 | 79 | 79 | 75 | 77 | 77 |
| $\text{V5}_{180\text{N}}^\S$ | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| PMN_{an} | Single | 85 | 85 | 85 | 67 | 71 | 73 | 87 | 85 | 86 | 87 | 88 | 88 |
| $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}_{\text{inc}}$ | | 85 | 85 | 85 | 68 | 72 | 74 | 82 | 84 | 85 | 87 | 88 | 88 |
| $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}_{\text{initial}}$ with PMN_{an} | | 85 | 85 | 86 | 73 | 71 | 72 | 82 | 84 | 85 | 88 | 89 | 89 |
| PMN_{an} | Split | 72 | 73 | 73 | 43 | 43 | 44 | 78 | 77 | 77 | 78 | 80 | 80 |
| $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}_{\text{inc}}$ | | 72 | 73 | 73 | 44 | 43 | 44 | 78 | 75 | 76 | 77 | 80 | 80 |
| $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}_{\text{initial}}$ with PMN_{an} | | 72 | 73 | 73 | 43 | 43 | 43 | 77 | 75 | 76 | 78 | 80 | 80 |

† Pre-plant soil sampling with 0 kg N ha^{-1} applied at planting.

‡ V5 corn development stage with 0 kg N ha^{-1} applied at planting.

§ V5 corn development stage with 180 kg N ha^{-1} applied at planting.

One possible explanation for the poor capacity of PMN_{an} to predict EONR may be that we only evaluated the top 30 cm of soil, but ~40% of the N taken up by corn can be in soil below 30 cm (Gass et al., 1971). Accounting for N mineralization deeper in the soils may improve the predictability of EONR. For example, accounting for mineralization in the top 50 cm had R^2 values from 0.61 to 0.67 when relating PMN_{an} to N uptake in wheat, whereas the R^2 was only 0.21 when PMN_{an} was calculated only from the top 20 cm (Börjesson et al., 1999). Also, it may be necessary to split the soil sampling depth into smaller increments because N mineralization decreases with depth as the C/N ratio normally increases due to less organic N content in deeper layers of the soil (Paul et al., 2001; Purnomo et al., 2000a, 2000b). The lower organic N content and changing C/N ratio as soil depth increases may have diluted our deeper (30 cm) PMN_{an} samples, causing them to be lower than other studies that sampled the top 20 cm (Börjesson et al., 1999; Orcellet et al., 2017; Williams et al., 2007).

Another reason for the generally poor correlations of the PMN_{an} test to EONR may be that the PMN_{an} test is only an index of how much N mineralization is possible in a growing season. Actual N mineralization in the field depends on the interaction of soil characteristics, weather, and management that can be influenced by soil moisture and the accessibility of microorganisms to organic-bound N across the entire season (Beyaert and Voroney, 2011; Cabrera et al., 2005; Kuzyakova

et al., 2006; Mikha et al., 2006; Rice and Havlin, 1994; Sierra, 1992; Wu et al., 2008). Further, the N that is mineralized from soil organic matter or added from fertilizers is subject to loss processes (leaching, denitrification, volatilization, and immobilization) that affect the availability of N to the corn crop, which are not quantified by the PMN_{an} test. Finally, the culmination of various biophysical stressors over the season define the health of the crop and its yield potential, thus affecting the crop N need and use of the available N to produce yield. All these factors make corn EONR prediction difficult with a single N management tool. Future studies should focus on a more integrated approach where EONR predictions with PMN_{an} are evaluated together with more components of the N cycle and weather conditions that most influence plant N availability.

CONCLUSIONS

Anaerobic potentially mineralizable N is a weak predictor of EONR ($R^2 \leq 0.08$ and $\text{RMSE} \geq 67 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1}$) when evaluated across all soils. Predictions of EONR by PMN_{an} improved (15%) when analysis was completed after sites were grouped by soil texture. Sample timing and N fertilization generally had a greater impact on the ability of PMN_{an} models to predict EONR compared with increasing the PMN_{an} incubation length and the use of different PMN_{an} models. At the V5 soil sample timing, PMN_{an} similarly predicted EONR regardless of N fertilizer rate applied at planting (0 vs. 180 kg N

ha⁻¹), demonstrating that sampling after fertilization does not improve the ability of PMN_{an} to predict EONR. Soil texture influenced when soil samples should be collected for PMN_{an} analysis to best predict EONR. For coarse-textured soils, soils should be sampled at V5 and medium- and fine-textured soils sampled prior to planting. Increasing the length of PMN_{an} incubation affected PMN_{an} but did not improve EONR predictability ($\leq 18\%$ improvement) or reduce RMSE (decreased ≤ 7 kg N ha⁻¹) enough to justify the extra time required to complete the longer incubation lengths; thus, incubation length should remain at 7 d. When determining PMN_{an}, subtracting NH₄-N_{initial} from NH₄-N_{inc} had a minimal impact on optimizing the predictability of EONR in coarse-, medium-, and fine-textured soils. Discontinuing the measurement of NH₄-N_{initial} as part of the PMN_{an} test would lower analysis cost and increase the potential for the PMN_{an} test to be commercially available to farmers as an N management tool. Although N fertilization as a single application at planting or split application affected EONR, there was minimal influence on the ability of PMN_{an} to predict either EONR. Overall, the relationships between EONR and PMN_{an} models were poor regardless of the improvements from partitioning soils by texture and including NH₄-N_{initial} with PMN_{an} ($R^2 \leq 0.33$ and $RMSE \geq 68$ kg N ha⁻¹). These results indicate that PMN_{an} models alone should not be used to predict either EONR_{single} or EONR_{split}, and other factors influencing EONR need to be investigated.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by funds from DuPont Pioneer. The authors thank the graduate students [Matt Schafer (IA), Curtis Ransom, Gregory Bean (MO), and Christopher Bandura (WI)], supporting scientists [Matt Yost; Dan Barker (IA); Lakesh Sharma, Amitava Chatterjee, and Norm Cattanaach (ND); Todd Andraski (WI); and Tim Hart (DuPont Pioneer)], field technicians [Matt Volkman (MO); Jason Niekamp and Joshua Vonk (IL); Glen Slater (NE); Andrew Scobbie, Thor Sellie, Nicholas Severson, Darby Martin, and Erik Joerres (MN)], and cooperating farmers and research farm personnel for their help in completing this project. Mention of trade names or commercial products in this publication is solely for the purpose of providing information and does not imply recommendation or endorsement by the affiliated Universities or the US Department of Agriculture.

REFERENCES

- Andraski, T.W., and L.G. Bundy. 2002. Using the presidedress soil nitrate test and organic nitrogen crediting to improve corn nitrogen recommendations. *Agron. J.* 94:1411–1418. doi:10.2134/agronj2002.1411
- Angus, J.F., M. Ohnishi, T. Horie, and R.L. Williams. 1994. A preliminary study to predict net nitrogen mineralisation in a flooded rice soil using anaerobic incubation. *Aust. J. Exp. Agric.* 34:995–999. doi:10.1071/EA9940995
- Arrobas, M., T. Fonseca, M.J. Parada, and M.Â. Rodrigues. 2012. Influence of sampling date on soil nitrogen availability indices. *Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal.* 43:2521–2534. doi:10.1080/00103624.2012.711870
- Barker, D.W., J.E. Sawyer, M.M. Al-Kaisi, and J.P. Lundvall. 2006. Assessment of the amino sugar-nitrogen test on Iowa soils: II. Field correlation and calibration. *Agron. J.* 98:1352–1358. doi:10.2134/agronj2006.0034
- Beyaert, R.P., and R.P. Voroney. 2011. Estimation of decay constants for crop residues measured over 15 years in conventional and reduced tillage systems in a coarse-textured soil in southern Ontario. *Can. J. Soil Sci.* 91:985–995. doi:10.4141/cjss2010-055
- Binford, G.D., A.M. Blackmer, and M.E. Cerrato. 1992. Relationships between corn yields and soil nitrate in late spring. *Agron. J.* 84:53–59. doi:10.2134/agronj1992.00021962008400010012x
- Bloem, J., G. Lebbink, K.B. Zwart, L.A. Bouwman, S.L. Burgers, J.A. de Vos, and P.C. de Ruiter. 1994. Dynamics of microorganisms, microbivores and nitrogen mineralisation in winter wheat fields under conventional and integrated management. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* 51:129–143. doi:10.1016/0167-8809(94)90039-6
- Börjesson, T., B. Stenberg, B. Linden, and A. Jonsson. 1999. NIR spectroscopy, mineral nitrogen analysis and soil incubations for the prediction of crop uptake of nitrogen during the growing season. *Plant Soil* 214:75–83. doi:10.1023/A:1004775524189
- Broadbent, F.E., and R.D. Hauck. 1984. Plant use of soil nitrogen. In: R.D. Hauck, editor, *Nitrogen in crop production*. ASA, CSSA, and SSSA, Madison, WI. p. 171–182.
- Bronson, K.F. 2008. Forms of inorganic nitrogen in soil. In: J.S. Schepers, W.R. Raun, R.F. Follett, R.H. Fox, and G.W. Randall, editors, *Nitrogen in agricultural systems*. Agronomy monograph 49. ASA, CSSA, and SSSA, Madison, WI. p. 31–56. doi:10.2134/agronmonogr49.c2
- Bundy, L.G., and T.W. Andraski. 1995. Soil yield potential effects on performance of soil nitrate tests. *J. Prod. Agric.* 8:561–568. doi:10.2134/jpa1995.0561
- Bundy, L.G., and J.J. Meisinger. 1994. Nitrogen availability indices. In: R.W. Weaver, editor, *Methods of soil analysis: Biochemical and microbial properties*. SSSA Monogr. 5. SSSA, Madison, WI. p. 951–984.
- Bushong, J.T., R.J. Norman, W.J. Ross, N.A. Slaton, C.E. Wilson Jr, and E.E. Gbur Jr. 2007. Evaluation of several indices of potentially mineralizable nitrogen in soil. *Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal.* 38:2799–2813. doi:10.1080/00103620701663040
- Cabrera, M.L., D.E. Kessel, and M.F. Vigil. 2005. Nitrogen mineralization from organic residues: Research opportunities. *J. Environ. Qual.* 34:75–79. doi:10.2134/jeq2005.0075
- Cavigelli, M.A., S.J. Del Grosso, M.A. Liebig, C.S. Snyder, P.E. Fixen, R.T. Venterea, et al. 2012. U.S. agricultural nitrous oxide emissions: Context, status, and trends. *Front. Ecol. Environ.* 10:537–546. doi:10.1890/120054
- Cerrato, M.E., and A.M. Blackmer. 1990. Comparison of models for describing corn yield response to nitrogen fertilizer. *Agron. J.* 82:138–143. doi:10.2134/agronj1990.00021962008200010030x
- Chen, R., M. Senbayram, S. Blagodatsky, O. Myachina, K. Dittert, X. Lin, et al. 2014. Soil C and N availability determine the priming effect: Microbial N mining and stoichiometric decomposition theories. *Glob. Change Biol.* 20:2356–2367. doi:10.1111/gcb.12475
- Christensen, N.W., M.H. Qureshi, D.M. Baloch, and R.S. Karow. 1999. Assessing nitrogen mineralization in a moist xeric environment. In: *Proceedings, Western Nutrient Management Conference*. Vol. 3. Potash & Phosphate Institute, Norcross, GA. p. 83–90.
- Ciampitti, I., and T.J. Vyn. 2012. Physiological perspectives of changes over time in maize yield dependency on nitrogen uptake and associated nitrogen efficiencies: A review. *Field Crops Res.* 133:48–67. doi:10.1016/j.fcr.2012.03.008
- Clark, J.D. 2018. Improving nitrogen management with the anaerobic potentially mineralizable nitrogen test. Ph.D. diss. Univ. of Minnesota-Twin Cities.
- Clark, J.D., F.G. Fernandez, K.S. Veum, J.J. Camberato, P.R. Carter, R.B. Ferguson, et al. 2019. United States midwest soil and weather conditions influence anaerobic potentially mineralizable nitrogen. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* (in press).

- Conde, E., M. Cardenas, A. Ponce-Mendoza, M.L. Luna-Guido, C. Cruz-Mondragón, and L. Dendooven. 2005. The impacts of inorganic nitrogen application on mineralization of ¹⁴C-labelled maize and glucose, and on priming effect in saline alkaline soil. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 37:681–691. doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2004.08.026
- Culman, S.W., S.S. Snapp, J.M. Green, and L.E. Gentry. 2013. Short- and long-term labile soil C and nitrogen dynamics reflect management and predict corn agronomic performance. *Agron. J.* 105:493–502. doi:10.2134/agronj2012.0382
- Fernández, F.G., K.P. Fabrizzi, and S.L. Naeve. 2017. Corn and soybean's season-long in-situ nitrogen mineralization in drained and undrained soils. *Nutr. Cycl. Agroecosyst.* 107:33–47. doi:10.1007/s10705-016-9810-1
- Fox, R.H., and W.P. Piekielek. 1984. Relationships among anaerobically mineralized nitrogen, chemical indexes, and nitrogen availability to corn. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 48:1087–1090. doi:10.2136/sssaj1984.03615995004800050027x
- Fox, R.H., G.W. Roth, K.V. Iversen, and W.P. Piekielek. 1989. Soil and tissue nitrate tests compared for predicting soil nitrogen availability to corn. *Agron. J.* 81:971–974. doi:10.2134/agronj1989.00021962008100060025x
- Gass, W.B., G.A. Peterson, R.D. Hauck, and R.A. Olson. 1971. Recovery of residual nitrogen by corn (*Zea mays* L.) from various soil depths as measured by ¹⁵N tracer techniques. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 35:290–294. doi:10.2136/sssaj1971.03615995003500020032x
- Gehl, R.J., J.P. Schmidt, L.D. Maddux, and W.B. Gordon. 2005. Corn yield response to nitrogen rate and timing in sandy irrigated soils. *Agron. J.* 97:1230–1238. doi:10.2134/agronj2004.0303
- Hamer, U., and B. Marschner. 2005. Priming effects in different soil types induced by fructose, alanine, oxalic acid and catechol additions. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 37:445–454. doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2004.07.037
- Hart, S.C. 1994. Nitrogen mineralization, immobilization, and nitrification. In: J.M. Bigham, editor, *Methods of soil analysis. Part 2. Microbiological and biochemical properties*. SSSA book series: 5. SSSA-ASA, Madison, WI, p. 985–1018.
- Helmers, M.J., X. Zhou, J.L. Baker, S.W. Melvin, and D.W. Lemke. 2012. Nitrogen loss on tile-drained Mollisols as affected by nitrogen application rate under continuous corn and corn-soybean rotation systems. *Can. J. Soil Sci.* 92:493–499. doi:10.4141/cjss2010-043
- Kablan, L.A., V. Chabot, A. Mailloux, M.-È. Bouchard, D. Fontaine, and T. Bruulsema. 2017. Variability in corn yield response to nitrogen fertilizer in eastern Canada. *Agron. J.* 109:2231–2242. doi:10.2134/agronj2016.09.0511
- Keeney, D.R., and J.M. Bremner. 1966. Comparison and evaluation of laboratory methods of obtaining an index of soil nitrogen availability. *Agron. J.* 58:498–503. doi:10.2134/agronj1966.00021962005800050013x
- Khan, S.A., R.L. Mulvaney, and R.G. Hoef. 2001. A simple soil test for detecting sites that are nonresponsive to nitrogen fertilization. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 65:1751–1760. doi:10.2136/sssaj2001.1751
- Kitchen, N.R., J.F. Shanahan, C.J. Ransom, C.J. Bandura, G.M. Bean, J.J. Camberato, et al. 2017. A public-industry partnership for enhancing corn nitrogen research and datasets: Project description, methodology, and outcomes. *Agron. J.* 109:2371–2388. doi:10.2134/agronj2017.04.0207
- Kolberg, R., B. Rouppe, D. Westfall, and G. Peterson. 1997. Evaluation of an in situ net soil nitrogen mineralization method in dryland agroecosystems. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 61:504–508. doi:10.2136/sssaj1997.03615995006100020019x
- Kuzyakov, Y. 2002. Review: Factors affecting rhizosphere priming effects. *J. Plant Nutr. Soil Sci.* 165:382–396. doi:10.1002/1522-2624(200208)165:4
- Kuzyakova, I.F., F.R. Turyabahika, and K. Stahr. 2006. Time series analysis and mixed models for studying the dynamics of net N mineralization in a soil catena at Gondelsheim (S-W Germany). *Geoderma* 136:803–818. doi:10.1016/j.geoderma.2006.06.003
- Kuzyakov, Y., J.K. Friedel, and K. Stahr. 2000. Review of mechanisms and quantification of priming effects. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 32:1485–1498. doi:10.1016/S0038-0717(00)00084-5
- Laboski, C.A.M., J.E. Sawyer, D.T. Walters, L.G. Bundy, R.G. Hoef, G.W. Randall, et al. 2008. Evaluation of the Illinois soil nitrogen test in the North Central Region of the United States. *Agron. J.* 100:1070–1076. doi:10.2134/agronj2007.0285
- Lory, J.A., and P.C. Scharf. 2003. Yield goal versus delta yield for predicting fertilizer nitrogen need in corn. *Agron. J.* 95:994–999. doi:10.2134/agronj2003.9940
- Ma, B.L., L.M. Dwyer, and E.G. Gregorich. 1999. Soil nitrogen amendment effect on seasonal nitrogen mineralization and nitrogen cycling in maize production. *Agron. J.* 91:1003–1009. doi:10.2134/agronj1999.9161003x
- Magdoff, F.R., D. Ross, and J. Amadon. 1984. A soil test for nitrogen availability to corn. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 48:1301–1304. doi:10.2136/sssaj1984.03615995004800060020x
- Mahal, N.K., W.R. Osterholz, F.E. Miguez, H.J. Poffenbarger, J.E. Sawyer, D.C. Olk, et al. 2019. Nitrogen fertilizer suppresses mineralization of soil organic matter in maize agroecosystems. *Front. Ecol. Evol.* 7(March):1–12. doi:10.3389/fevo.2019.00059
- Mariano, E., P.C.O. Cesar, J.M. Leite, M. Xavier, V. Megda, R. Otto, and H.C.J. Franco. 2013. Incubation methods for assessing mineralizable nitrogen in soils under sugarcane. *Rev. Bras. Cienc. Solo* 37:450–461. doi:10.1590/S0100-06832013000200016
- McCasland, M., N.M. Trautmann, K.S. Porter, and R.J. Wagenet. 2012. Nitrate: Health effects in drinking water. Natural Resources Cornell Cooperative Extension. <http://psep.cce.cornell.edu/facts-slides-self/facts/nit-heef-grw85.aspx> (accessed 9 Sept. 2018).
- Mikha, M.M., C.W. Rice, and J.G. Benjamin. 2006. Estimating soil mineralizable nitrogen under different management practices. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 70:1522–1531. doi:10.2136/sssaj2005.0253
- Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA). 2013. Nitrogen in Minnesota surface waters: Conditions, trends, sources, and reductions. Saint Paul, MN.
- Mitsch, W.J., J.W. Day, G.J. Wendell, P.M. Groffman, D.L. Hey, G.W. Randall, et al. 2001. Reducing nitrogen loading to the Gulf of Mexico from the Mississippi River Basin: Strategies to counter a persistent ecological problem. *Bioscience* 51:373–388. doi:10.1641/0006-3568(2001)051[0373:RNLTG]2.0.CO;2
- Morris, T.F., T.S. Murrell, D.B. Beegle, J.J. Camberato, R.B. Ferguson, J. Grove, et al. 2018. Strengths and limitations of nitrogen rate recommendations for corn and opportunities for improvement. *Agron. J.* 110:1–37. doi:10.2134/agronj2017.02.0112
- Nyiraneza, J., A. N'Dayegamiye, M.O. Gasser, M. Giroux, M. Grenier, C. Landry, et al. 2010. Soil and crop parameters related to corn nitrogen response in Eastern Canada. *Agron. J.* 102:1478–1490. doi:10.2134/agronj2009.0458
- O'Leary, M., G. Rehm, and M. Schmitt. 2002. Understanding nitrogen in soils. Univ. of Minnesota Extension, St Paul.
- Orcellet, J., N.I. Reussi Calvo, H.R. Sainz Rozas, N. Wyngaard, and H.E. Echeverría. 2017. Anaerobically incubated nitrogen improved nitrogen diagnosis in corn. *Agron. J.* 109:291–298. doi:10.2134/agronj2016.02.0115
- Osterhaus, J.T., L.G. Bundy, and T.W. Andraski. 2008. Evaluation of the Illinois Soil Nitrogen Test for predicting corn nitrogen needs. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 72:143–150. doi:10.2136/sssaj2006.0208
- Paul, K., S. Black, and M. Conyers. 2001. Development of nitrogen mineralisation gradients through surface soil depth and their influence on surface soil pH. *Plant Soil* 234:239–246. doi:10.1023/A:1017904613797
- Purnomo, E., A.S. Black, and M.K. Conyers. 2000a. The distribution of net nitrogen mineralisation within surface soil. 2. Factors influencing the distribution of net N mineralisation. *Aust. J. Soil Res.* 38:643–652. doi:10.1071/SR99059

- Purnomo, E., A.S. Black, C.J. Smith, and M.K. Conyers. 2000b. The distribution of net nitrogen mineralisation within surface soil: 1. Field studies under a wheat crop. *Aust. J. Soil Res.* 38:129–140. doi:10.1071/SR99058
- Raison, R.J., M.J. Connell, and P.K. Khanna. 1987. Methodology for studying fluxes of soil mineral-N in situ. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 19:521–530. doi:10.1016/0038-0717(87)90094-0
- Randall, G.W., and J.A. Vetsch. 2005. Nitrate losses in subsurface drainage from a corn-soybean rotation as affected by fall and spring application of nitrogen and nitrapyrin. *J. Environ. Qual.* 34:590–597. doi:10.2134/jeq2005.0590
- Randall, G.W., J.A. Vetsch, and J.R. Huffman. 2003a. Nitrate losses in subsurface drainage from a corn-soybean rotation as affected by time of nitrogen application and use of nitrapyrin. *J. Environ. Qual.* 32:1764–1772. doi:10.2134/jeq2003.1764
- Randall, G.W., J.A. Vetsch, and J.R. Huffman. 2003b. Corn production on a subsurface-drained mollisol as affected by time of nitrogen application and nitrapyrin. *Agron. J.* 95:1213–1219. doi:10.2134/agronj2003.1213
- Rasse, D.P., J.T. Ritchie, W.R. Peterson, T.L. Loudon, and E.C. Martin. 1999. Nitrogen management impacts on yield and nitrate leaching in inbred maize systems. *J. Environ. Qual.* 28:1365–1371. doi:10.2134/jeq1999.00472425002800040042x
- Raun, W.R., G.V. Johnson, S.B. Phillips, and R.L. Westerman. 1998. Effect of long-term N fertilization on soil organic C and total N in continuous wheat under conventional tillage in Oklahoma. *Soil Tillage Res.* 47:323–330. doi:10.1016/S0167-1987(98)00120-2
- Rhine, E.D., R.L. Mulvaney, E.J. Pratt, and G.K. Sims. 1998. Improving the Berthelot Reaction for determining ammonium in soil extracts and water. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 62:473–480. doi:10.2136/sssaj1998.03615995006200020026x
- Ribaudo, M., J. Delgado, L. Hansen, M. Livingston, R. Mosheim, and J. Williamson. 2011. Nitrogen in agricultural systems: Implications for conservation policy. USDA, Washington, DC.
- Rice, C.W., and J.L. Havlin. 1994. Integrating mineralizable nitrogen indices into fertilizer nitrogen recommendations. In: J.L. Havlin, editor, *Soil testing: Prospects for improving nutrient recommendation*. SSSA Spec. Publ. No. 40. SSSA, Madison, WI, p. 1–13.
- Ritchie, S.W., J.J. Hanway, and G.O. Benson. 1996. How a corn plant develops. Iowa State Univ., Ames.
- Roberts, T., W. Ross, R. Norman, N. Slaton, and C. Wilson. 2011. Predicting nitrogen fertilizer needs for rice in Arkansas using alkaline hydrolyzable-nitrogen. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 75:1161–1171. doi:10.2136/sssaj2010.0145
- Ros, G.H., E.J.M. Temminghoff, and E. Hoffland. 2011. Nitrogen mineralization: A review and meta-analysis of the predictive value of soil tests. *Eur. J. Soil Sci.* 62:162–173. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2389.2010.01318.x
- Russell, C.A., B.W. Dunn, G.D. Batten, R.L. Williams, and J.F. Angus. 2006. Soil tests to predict optimum fertilizer nitrogen rate for rice. *Field Crops Res.* 97:286–301. doi:10.1016/j.fcr.2005.10.007
- Sawyer, J.E., and D.W. Barker. 2011. An evaluation of the Illinois soil nitrogen test in Iowa corn production. *Crop Manag.: Online*. doi:10.1094/CM-2011-0630-01-RS.
- Sawyer, J.E., E. Nafziger, G. Randall, L. Bundy, G. Rehm, and B. Joern. 2006. Concepts and rationale for regional nitrogen rate guidelines for corn. PM 2015. Iowa State Univ. Ext., Ames.
- Scharf, P.C., N.R. Kitchen, K.A. Sudduth, J.G. Davis, V.C. Hubbard, and J.A. Lory. 2005. Field-scale variability in optimal nitrogen fertilizer rate for corn. *Agron. J.* 97:452–461. doi:10.2134/agronj2005.0452
- Shen, S.M., P.B.S. Hart, D.S. Powlson, and D.S. Jenkinson. 1989. The nitrogen cycle in the broadbalk wheat experiment: ¹⁵N-labelled fertilizer residues in the soil and in the soil microbial biomass. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 21:529–533. doi:10.1016/0038-0717(89)90126-0
- Sierra, J. 1992. Relationship between mineral N content and N mineralization rate in disturbed and undisturbed soil samples incubated under field and laboratory conditions. *Aust. J. Soil Res.* 30:477–492. doi:10.1071/SR9920477
- Sierra, J. 1997. Temperature and soil moisture dependence of N mineralization in intact soil cores. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 29:1557–1563. doi:10.1016/S0038-0717(96)00288-X
- Six, J., R.T. Conant, E.A. Paul, and K. Paustian. 2002. Stabilization mechanisms of soil organic matter: Implications for C-saturating of soils. *Plant Soil* 241:155–176. doi:10.1023/A:1016125726789
- Stanford, G. 1973. Rationale for optimum nitrogen fertilization in corn production. *J. Environ. Qual.* 2:159–166. doi:10.2134/jeq1973.00472425000200020001x
- Stanford, G., and S.J. Smith. 1972. Nitrogen mineralization potentials of soils. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. Proc.* 36:465–472. doi:10.2136/sssaj1972.03615995003600030029x
- Steinbach, H.S., R. Alvarez, and C.R. Valente. 2004. Balance between mineralization and immobilization of nitrogen as affected by soil mineral nitrogen level. *Agrochimica* 48:204–212.
- Struffert, A.M., J.C. Rubin, F.G. Fernández, and J.A. Lamb. 2016. Nitrogen management for corn and groundwater quality in Upper Midwest irrigated sands. *J. Environ. Qual.* 45:1557–1564. doi:10.2134/jeq2016.03.0105
- Tonitto, C., M.B. David, and L.E. Drinkwater. 2006. Replacing bare fallows with cover crops in fertilizer-intensive cropping systems: A meta-analysis of crop yield and N dynamics. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* 112:58–72. doi:10.1016/j.agee.2005.07.003
- Tremblay, N., Y.M. Bouroubi, C. Bélec, R.W. Mullen, N.R. Kitchen, W.E. Thomason, et al. 2012. Corn response to nitrogen is influenced by soil texture and weather. *Agron. J.* 104:1658–1671. doi:10.2134/agronj2012.0184
- USEPA. 2018. Inventory of U.S. greenhouse gas emissions and sinks: 1990–2016. Executive summary. USEPA, Washington, DC.
- Walsh, O., W. Raun, A. Klatt, and J. Solie. 2012. Effect of delayed nitrogen fertilization on maize (*Zea mays* L.) grain yields and nitrogen use efficiency. *J. Plant Nutr.* 35:538–555. doi:10.1080/01904167.2012.644373
- Waring, S.A., and J.M. Bremner. 1964. Ammonium production in soil under waterlogged conditions as an index of nitrogen availability. *Nature* 201:951–952 [erratum: 203:819]. doi:10.1038/201951a0
- Williams, J.D., C.R. Crozier, J.G. White, R.P. Sripada, and D.A. Crouse. 2007. Comparison of soil nitrogen tests for corn fertilizer recommendations in the humid southeastern USA. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 71:171–180. doi:10.2136/sssaj2006.0057
- Wu, T.-Y., B.L. Ma, and B.C. Liang. 2008. Quantification of seasonal soil nitrogen mineralization for corn production in eastern Canada. *Nutr. Cycl. Agroecosyst.* 81:279–290. doi:10.1007/s10705-007-9163-x
- Xie, M., N. Tremblay, G. Tremblay, G. Bourgeois, M.Y. Bouroubi, and Z. Wei. 2013. Weather effects on corn response to in-season nitrogen rates. *Can. J. Plant Sci.* 93:407–417. doi:10.4141/cjps2012-145
- Yang, H.S., A. Dobermann, J.L. Lindquist, D.T. Walters, T.J. Arkebauer, and K.G. Cassman. 2004. Hybrid-maize: A maize simulation model that combines two crop modeling approaches. *Field Crops Res.* 87:131–154. doi:10.1016/j.fcr.2003.10.003
- Yost, M.A., J.A. Coulter, M.P. Russelle, C.C. Sheaffer, and D.E. Kaiser. 2012. Alfalfa nitrogen credit to first-year corn: Potassium, regrowth, and tillage timing effects. *Agron. J.* 104:953–962. doi:10.2134/agronj2011.0384