SIMULATING NO₃-N TRANSPORT TO SUBSURFACE DRAIN FLOWS AS AFFECTED BY TILLAGE UNDER CONTINUOUS CORN USING MODIFIED RZWOM

P. Singh, R. S. Kanwar

ABSTRACT. The Root Zone Water Quality Model (RZWQM) was previously modified to simulate subsurface drain flows and evaluate the impact of different tillage systems on subsurface drain flows (Singh and Kanwar, 1994). This article discusses further modifications made in the RZWQM to simulate nitrate-nitrogen (NO₃-N) concentrations and NO₃-N losses with subsurface drain flows. Daily NO₃-N concentrations were simulated in subsurface drain flows under four different tillage systems: chisel plow (CP), moldboard plow (MB), no-tillage (NT), and ridge-tillage (RT) by using the modified RZWQM. Simulations were conducted for the growing seasons of three years (1990 to 1992). Simulated NO₃-N concentrations and losses with subsurface drain flows were compared with the measured data obtained from a water quality research site at Nashua, Iowa. Predicted NO₃-N concentrations in subsurface drain flows were within 11% (averaged over all three years) of observed annual average NO₃-N concentrations in subsurface drain flows. The model correctly predicted maximum concentrations under MB treatment and minimum under NT for all three years. Simulated annual NO₃-N losses were within 14% (averaged over all three years) of observed annual NO₃-N losses. Various NO₃-N transformation processes need to be calibrated as a function of tillage system to improve model performance. **Keywords.** Water quality, Hydrologic modeling, Solute transport.

t is becoming increasingly evident that intensive use of chemicals in agriculture production systems may create environmental pollution by contaminating subsurface soil and water resources and economic losses due to movement of agricultural chemicals out of crop root-zone. Groundwater contamination by nitratenitrogen (NO₃-N) and pesticides has become a serious environmental concern in the nation, especially in the midwestern United States. Agricultural land areas have varying degrees of potential for groundwater pollution depending on the soil type, geology, climate, and more importantly the agricultural management practices. The use of conservation tillage and different crop rotations for agricultural production may help in developing the best management practices to reduce groundwater pollution problems. Conservation tillage (especially a no-tillage system) is an effective practice for conserving energy and soil. However, there is a concern that conservation tillage may increase the risk of groundwater pollution because these tillage systems have been found to increase groundwater recharge (Kanwar et al., 1988; Kay and Baker, 1989). Also, the use of artificial drainage to remove excess water from crop land may increase NO₃-N losses from the system (Baker and Johnson, 1976); however, artificial drainage is an absolute necessity to farm some of the nation's most productive soils. Without artificial drainage, planting and harvesting may not be done in a timely fashion, and on some soils poor growing conditions may result in total crop failure in very wet years and reduced yields in moderately wet years (Kanwar et al., 1983; Ahmad et al., 1992).

Several experimental studies have been conducted to investigate the effects of tillage practices and crop rotation on the movement of surface applied agricultural chemicals to the subsurface drains. Kanwar et al. (1990) established a field hydrology laboratory to study the effects of four tillage systems [moldboard plow (MB), chisel plow (CP), no-tillage (NT), and ridge-tillage (RT)] on the transport of surface applied chemicals (NO₃-N and pesticides) through the soil profile to shallow groundwater. Results from this study showed that NO₃-N concentrations in subsurface drain flows under conventional tillage plots were greater than NO₃-N concentrations in subsurface drain flows under other tillage systems. Leeds-Harrison et al. (1992) observed that drain flow and solute load are affected by tillage treatment.

Although a number of experimental investigations have been conducted to study the transport of NO₃-N to subsurface drains not much work has been done on the simulation of NO₃-N transport to the subsurface drains under different tillage systems. Simulation studies can be used as an inexpensive, time saving, and environmentally safe technique to evaluate the effects of various agricultural management practices on the subsurface movement of NO₃-N. For instance, Kanwar et al. (1983) developed a model to simulate the major water and N transport processes occurring in a typical agricultural watershed during the crop growth period. DRAINMOD (Skaggs, 1978) was extended further as DRAINMOD-N (Breve et al., 1992) for predicting N-transport, uptake, and transformation in artificially drained soils. These models are not capable of incorporating tillage effects. A

Article was submitted for publication in June 1994; reviewed and approved for publication by the Soil and Water Div. of ASAE in December 1994.

The authors are **Piyush Singh**, ASAE Student Member, Post-doctoral Research Associate, and **Rameshwar S. Kanwar**, ASAE Member Engineer, Professor, Agricultural and Biosystems Engineering Dept., Iowa State University, Ames.

mechanistic soil-crop simulation model that emphasizes soil N dynamics and tillage management decisions is NTRM (Shaffer et al., 1983; Shaffer and Larson, 1987) which has been used to make long-term predictions of yield and environmental impact. Another soil-water-plantatmosphere system model called Root Zone Water Quality Model (RZWQM) (USDA-ARS, 1992) was recently developed to simulate the effects of various agricultural management practices including tillage on the subsurface movement of nutrients and pesticides.

The purpose of this study was to further extend the capability of the modified RZWQM model (Singh and Kanwar, 1995) to predict NO_3 -N concentrations in subsurface drain flows and to evaluate the effect of different tillage systems on NO₃-N losses with subsurface drain flows. The specific objectives of this study were to:

- Extend the modified RZWQM model to simulate NO₃-N concentration in subsurface drainage water.
- Test and evaluate the modified RZWQM by simulating NO₃-N concentrations and NO₃-N losses with subsurface drain flows for 1990, 1991, and 1992 under four different tillage practices and comparing them with observed data from the Nashua Water Quality Site in Iowa.

MODEL DEVELOPMENT AND THEORY AN OVERVIEW OF RZWQM

The following paragraphs briefly describe NO_3 -N transport processes in RZWQM (USDA-ARS, 1992).

For NO₃-N transport through the soil profile during infiltration, a sequential partial displacement and mixing approach in 1-cm layer increments is used based on the established concept of miscible displacement. Preferential flow in macropore channels is treated separately.

The soil solution is displaced sequentially across 1-cm soil increments in the manner of piston displacement for each infiltration step. Because the volume of flow during an infiltration step is always less than the meso-pore soil water content of a 1-cm increment (usually less than half), displacement of the solution in this increment is only partial. Mixing is allowed to occur within all meso-pores of an increment after each displacement step. Thus, this two-stage process simulates miscible displacement in the meso-pores. During the redistribution process, NO₃-N in the solution move with the water from one depth increment to another, including upward movement due to evaporation.

In RZWQM an Organic Matter/Nitrogen submodel (OMNI), is used for C and N cycling in the soil system. Given initial levels of soil humus, crop residues, other organics, and NO_3 -N and ammonium (NH₄-N) concentrations, the model simulates mineralization, nitrification, immobilization, denitrification, and volatilization of appropriate N forms. A multi-pool approach is used for organic matter cycling. Process rate equations are based on chemical kinetic theory and controlled by microbial population size and environmental parameters such as soil temperature, pH, water content, and salinity. Levels of soluble nutrients are used in estimating crop growth, nutrient extraction in surface runoff, and movement through and below the root zone.

SIMULATION OF NO₃-N CONCENTRATIONS IN THE SUBSURFACE DRAIN EFFLUENT

As pointed out by Duffy et al. (1975), NO₃-N concentrations in the subsurface drain effluent are sensitive to the hydrological component of the model; therefore, the various processes of water movement in the soil profile become quite important in predicting the NO₃-N concentration in the subsurface drain effluent. The NO₃-N concentration in the subsurface drain effluent is calculated as total mass of NO₃-N in the drained water divided by the drainage volume per unit area to the subsurface drain. When the subsurface drain flow is zero, the amount of water (and also the NO₃-N) that may actually move is set equal to zero. According to Dutt et al. (1970), the NO₃-N concentrations of the subsurface drain water are functions of the NO₃-N concentrations in the saturated soil profile. On the basis of the flow net studies conducted by Luthin (1966) and Kirkham (1966), it was assumed that the NO₃-N concentrations in the subsurface drain water would be proportional to the NO₃-N concentrations in soil layers below the water table. For this purpose, subsurface drainage per unit thickness (DRN) was calculated for the saturated zone after calculating subsurface drainage by the Hooghoudt equation. Drainage water contribution from each saturated layer (DEL) was calculated by multiplying DRN with the thickness of the layer. The total amount of NO₃-N loss to the subsurface drain flow from a given soil layer within the saturated zone can thus be calculated as follows:

$$CLOSS_i = CONC_i DEL_i$$
 (1)

where

- $CLOSS_i$ = amount of NO₃-N lost to subsurface drain flow from layer i (µg/mm²)
- $CONC_i$ = concentration of NO₃-N in layer i ($\mu g/mm^3$)
- DEL_i = amount of water contributed from layer i to subsurface drain flow (mm)

Total NO_3 -N loss in the subsurface drain flow for a given time step, DELT, is then calculated in the following way:

TLOSS
$$_{j} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} CLOSS_{i} DELT$$
 (2)

where

 $TLOSS_j = total NO_3-N loss in subsurface drain flow for time step j$

N = number of soil layers in saturated zone

Average daily NO_3 -N concentration (ADC) in subsurface drain flow is calculated by summing the total losses over the day and dividing by daily subsurface drain flow amount:

$$ADC = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{M} TLOSS_{j}}{DFLUX}$$
(3)

where

ADC :	=	average	daily	NO ₃ -N	concentration
		$(\mu g/mm^3)$		•	
DFLUX	-	daily subs	urface d	rain flow ((mm)

M = number of time steps in a day

MODEL SIMULATIONS AND EVALUATIONS Field Operations

Dates of planting, harvesting, fertilizer application, tillage, etc. were input to the model. Table 1 shows the dates of field operations and amount of fertilizer applied for all three years.

INITIAL NO₃-N CONCENTRATIONS, SOIL WATER CONTENT, AND WATER TABLE DEPTH

The subsurface drain flow component of the model was calibrated by using the measured daily subsurface drain flow data for the year 1990. Calibration procedure and parameters for subsurface drain flows are presented and discussed by Singh and Kanwar (1995) in detail. Initial soil water content profile, water table depth, and NO₃-N concentration profile were input to the model. Initial soil water content was adjusted to make sure that simulated subsurface drain flow began approximately at the same time subsurface drain flow actually began in the field. Initial water table depth was set equal to 1.2 m. Depth of the impermeable layer was assumed at 2.72 m which is a reasonable assumption for this site. The NO₃-N concentrations in subsurface drain flow were simulated for years 1990, 1991, and 1992. Initial NO₃-N concentrations in the soil profile were not available for years 1990 and 1991. Therefore, for these years the initial NO₃-N concentrations in the profile were set equal to the NO₃-N concentrations measured in late fall of 1990 (25 October 1990). Initial NO₃-N concentrations in the soil profile were subsequently adjusted to have the simulated NO₃-N concentrations in the subsurface drain flow approximately equal to the observed NO₃-N concentrations at the beginning of the subsurface drain flow. For 1992, prefertilization NO₃-N concentration values for the soil profile were available and were used as the initial profile concentrations. Initial NO₃-N concentrations in the soil profile for 1990, 1991, and 1992 are shown in tables 2, 3, and 4.

Table 2. Initial NO₃-N concentrations for simulation runs for all tillage treatments for 1990

	NO ₃ -N concentration (mg/L)							
Horizon	Chisel Plow	Moldboard Plow	No-till	Ridge-till				
1	60	55	55	57				
2	35	40	32	30				
3	25	38	29	27				
4	35	45	24	22				
5	35	50	25	22				
6	55	55	29	35				
7	40	51	41	40				
8	40	51	41	40				

MEASURED NO₃-N CONCENTRATIONS IN THE SUBSURFACE DRAIN EFFLUENT

Data on measured NO₃-N concentrations in the subsurface drain effluent were taken from the completion report of the Leopold Center Project (Kanwar et al., 1993a, b) and from the data files of Iowa State University's Water Quality Research Site at Nashua, Iowa. The study site is located on a predominantly Kenvon loam soil with 3 to 4% organic matter. Kenyon soils have a seasonally high water table and benefit from subsurface drainage. Subsurface drains were installed about 1.2 m deep at 28.5 m spacing in 1979. Long-term tillage practices were begun at this site in the fall of 1977 to compare CP, MB, NT, and RT systems. There were three replications of each tillage treatment on 0.4-ha plots. Each plot has one subsurface drain passing through the middle of the plot, and there is a subsurface drain at each of the two borders. The middle subsurface drains of all the plots were intercepted and connected to individual sumps in December 1988 for measuring subsurface drainage and collecting water samples for chemical analyses (Kanwar and Baker, 1991). For NO₃-N sampling, the frequency of sampling averaged three times a week when subsurface drains were flowing.

SIMULATED NO₃-N CONCENTRATIONS IN THE SUBSURFACE DRAIN FLOWS

The modified RZWQM was used to predict NO_3 -N concentrations in the subsurface drain effluent under four different tillage systems: CP, MB, NT, and RT, for 1990, 1991, and 1992. Figures 1 to 4 compare the predicted and observed daily NO_3 -N concentrations in the subsurface

 Table 1. Dates of tillage, planting, NO3-N application, and harvesting for 1990, 1991, and 1992

Table 3. Initial NO ₃ -N concentrations for simulation
runs for all tillage treatments for 1991

Day of Year		r			NO_3 -N concentration (mg/L)				
1990	1991	1992	Activity	Horizon	Chisel Plow	Moldboard Plow	No-till	Ridge-till	
		92	Spring tillage						
105	120	95	Secondary tillage (CP, MB)	1	40	35	22	24	
107	134	121	Applied 200 Kg-N/ba	2	25	20	15	15	
107	1.49	121	Dianted com	3	15	25	15	15	
122	148	125	Planted com	4	20	25	13	11	
140	148	156	Cultivation	, E	20	26	12	12	
186	171	178	Cultivation	5	20	20	15	12	
280	283	288	Harvested corn	6	28	28	15	20	
200	205	211	Fall sillage	7	30	36	25	25	
311		511	raii unage	8	30	36	25	25	

Table 4. Initial NO₃-N concentrations for simulation runs for all tillage treatments for 1992

	NO ₃ -N concentration (mg/L)							
Horizon	Chisel Plow	Moldboard Plow	No-till	Ridge-till				
1	11	15	12	12				
2	7	5	8	10				
3	9	9	9	9				
4	9	12	6	8				
5	8	13	6	8				
6	9	9	7	7				
7	8	8	5	6				
8	8	8	5	6				

drain effluent under CP, MB, NT, and RT tillage systems, respectively, for the year 1990. Similar comparisons for 1991 and 1992 are shown in figures 5 to 8 and figures 9 to 12, respectively. Observed NO_3 -N concentrations in the subsurface drain water represent the average of NO_3 -N concentrations from three replicate field plots.

A good agreement between the predicted and observed daily NO₃-N concentrations can be seen with few exceptions for all three years. As NO₃-N concentration in the subsurface drain effluent is proportional to the NO₃-N concentration of the saturated profile, a sudden drop in the NO₃-N concentration in the subsurface drain flow represented a heavy rainfall decreasing the NO₃-N concentration in the drainage water with increased subsurface drain flow and vice-versa. Coefficient of determination (\mathbb{R}^2) values were calculated for the best fit line for simulated versus observed NO₃-N concentrations in subsurface drain water. The \mathbb{R}^2 values ranged from 0.28 to 0.43 for 1990 simulations, 0.39 to 0.57 for 1991 simulations and 0.19 to 0.23 for 1992 simulations.

Discrepancies between the predicted and observed NO_3 -N concentrations in the subsurface drain water could be due to: 1) inaccuracies introduced in the hydrologic component of the model causing inaccuracies in the NO_3 -N concentration in the soil profile and ultimately in the subsurface drain flow; 2) inaccuracies introduced in the estimation of initial water content and concentrations; 3) unaccounted lateral groundwater flow and NO_3 -N losses; and 4) unaccounted deep seepage and NO_3 -N



Figure 2-Simulated and observed average NO₃-N concentrations in tile flow for MB, 1990.

losses, etc. Also, the rate of various NO₃-N transformation processes may need to be calibrated for the different tillage practices.

Tables 5 and 6 give the total NO₃-N losses and average concentrations in the subsurface drain effluent for all the three years. Model simulations showed lower NO₃-N concentrations in the subsurface drain water under NT and RT treatments and higher concentrations under MB and CP treatments for all three years. This was in agreement with observed NO₃-N concentration data. Simulated annual NO₃-N losses were within 14% (on average) of the observed annual NO₃-N losses under different tillage systems. For 1990, predicted tillage effects on NO₃-N losses in the subsurface drain effluent were consistent with the observed tillage effects, i.e., maximum NO₃-N loss under NT and minimum loss under MB treatment. But for 1991 and 1992, predicted tillage effects on NO₃-N losses were not always consistent with the observed effects. For 1992, observed NO₃-N losses were not much different under the four tillage systems. Predicted NO₃-N losses with the subsurface drain flows showed a similar trend for 1992. This was expected because 1992 was a relatively dry year with mostly low-intensity rainfall events. Therefore, preferential flow probably was not generated as often as in



Figure 1-Simulated and observed average NO₃-N concentrations in tile flow for CP, 1990.



Figure 3-Simulated and observed average NO₃-N concentrations in tile flow for NT, 1990.



Figure 4-Simulated and observed average NO₃-N concentrations in tile flow for RT, 1990.

1990 and 1991, thus minimizing the tillage effects on subsurface drain flows as well as on NO_3 -N losses.

Both observed and simulated average NO_3 -N concentrations showed comparable trends (higher concentrations in MB and CP and lower in NT and RT) from year to year. But the trends for the NO_3 -N losses were not consistent from year to year indicating again the importance of preferential flow, NO_3 -N losses by other pathways (e.g., in deep seepage), and spatial variability effects.

NO₃-N CONCENTRATIONS IN THE SOIL PROFILE

 NO_3 -N concentrations were measured in the soil profile on day of year (DOY) 150, 267, and 297 in 1990 and on DOY 119, 176, and 232 in 1992 as a function of tillage systems. For this purpose three 1.8-m-long soil cores were collected from the middle quarter of each plot. These cores were composited after sectioning them into a set of nine samples representing the following depths: 0 to 0.10, 0.10 to 0.20, 0.20 to 0.30, 0.30 to 0.45, 0.45 to 0.60, 0.60 to 0.90, 0.90 to 1.20, 1.20 to 1.50, and 1.50 to 1.80 m. Composited samples were analyzed for soil water content, NO_3 -N, and pesticide concentrations. A detailed methodology of collecting soil samples and analyzing them is described by Weed (1992).



Figure 6-Simulated and observed average NO₃-N concentrations in tile flow for MB, 1991.



Figure 7-Simulated and observed average NO₃-N concentrations in tile flow for NT, 1991.

Simulated NO_3 -N concentration in the soil profile were compared with the measured NO_3 -N concentration for 1990 and 1992. Figure 13 gives examples of typical simulated and observed NO_3 -N concentrations in the soil profile under different tillage systems for DOY 150, 1990.



Figure 5-Simulated and observed average NO₃-N concentrations in tile flow for CP, 1991.



Figure 8-Simulated and observed average NO₃-N concentrations in tile flow for RT, 1991.



Figure 9-Simulated and observed average NO₃-N concentrations in tile flow for CP, 1992.

Although depth and magnitude of simulated NO₃-N peak concentrations in the soil profile did not match well with the depths and magnitude of observed peak NO₃-N concentrations, predicted concentrations usually showed a range (maximum and minimum NO₃-N concentrations) similar to that of observed NO3-N concentrations. Both predicted and observed NO3-N concentrations in the soil profile did not show a clear effect of tillage systems. Simulated soil NO₃-N concentration profiles usually showed that the difference between NO₃-N concentrations under different tillage systems gradually increased with depth. There was no consistent pattern of this type in the observed NO₃-N concentration profiles, indicating the heterogeneity of the system and the effect of various NO₃-N transformation processes. Some other possible reasons for these discrepancies are discussed in earlier sections (NO₃-N concentration in subsurface drain water flow).

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

The RZWQM was modified to simulate NO_3 -N concentrations in the subsurface drain water effluent and to evaluate the impact of tillage practices (CP, MB, NT, and RT) on NO_3 -N losses with subsurface drain water. Daily



Figure 11-Simulated and observed average NO₃-N concentrations in tile flow for NT, 1992.

 NO_3 -N concentrations and losses in the subsurface drain flow were simulated for each tillage system for 1990, 1991, and 1992. Simulated NO_3 -N concentrations and losses were compared with the field measured concentrations and losses to evaluate the model's performance.

The modified RZWQM, in general, showed a good potential for predicting NO_3 -N concentrations and losses in the subsurface drain effluent under different tillage systems. Simulated NO_3 -N concentrations in subsurface drain flows under different tillage systems usually followed the pattern of observed NO_3 -N concentrations. The model correctly predicted higher average NO_3 -N concentrations in subsurface drain flows under MB and CP treatments and lower average concentrations under NT and RT treatments for all three years. Simulated annual average NO_3 -N concentrations in subsurface drain flows under MB and CP treatments for all three years. Simulated annual average NO_3 -N concentrations in subsurface drain flows were within 11% of observed annual average NO_3 -N concentrations in the subsurface drain flows.

Simulated annual NO_3 -N losses were within 14% of observed annual NO_3 -N losses with subsurface drain flows. Predicted tillage effects on NO_3 -N losses with subsurface drain flows were consistent with observed tillage effects for 1990, i.e., maximum annual NO_3 -N losses under NT and minimum losses under MB. But for 1991 and 1992,



Figure 10–Simulated and observed average NO₃-N concentrations in tile flow for MB, 1992.



Figure 12–Simulated and observed average NO₃-N concentrations in tile flow for RT, 1992.

Year	Total Rain	NO ₃ -N Losses with Subsurface Drain Flow (Kg/ha)*					
	(mm)	СР	MB	NT	RT		
1990	939.0						
	Observed	100.0	58.0	107.2	87.4		
		(30.7)	(20.8)	(21.6)	(24.6)		
	Predicted	94.5	70.7	95.1	81.3		
	Percent difference	5.5	21.8	11.3	7.0		
1991	592.0						
	Observed	75.4	61.8	60.4	57.4		
		(10.4)	(8.7)	(2.4)	(12.7)		
	Predicted	83.0	60.3	60.1	65.1		
	Percent difference	10.0	2.4	0.5	13.4		
1992	738.0						
	Observed	12.6	12.3	10.9	7.9		
		(1.3)	(10.7)	(7.8)	(2.1)		
	Predicted	10.3	14.9	12.8	10.5		
	Percent difference	18.3	21.1	17.4	32.9		

Table 5. Total average NO₃-N losses with subsurface drain flow for 1990, 1991, and 1992

 Numbers in the parentheses show the standard deviation of three replications.

predicted tillage effects on NO_3 -N losses were not always consistent with the observed tillage effects.

Simulated NO_3 -N concentrations in the soil profile under different tillage systems usually shared the same range (maximum and minimum NO_3 -N concentrations), that of observed concentrations, but the depth and magnitude of peak simulated concentrations did not match well with those of observed peaks.

Discrepancies between simulated and observed NO_3 -N concentrations and losses indicated a need for better estimates of input data as well as a need for further

Table 6.	Avera	ge NO) ₃ -N	conc	entra	tions	in	subsurfac	e
	drain	flows	for	1990,	1991,	, and	19	92	

Year	Total Rain	NO ₃ -N Concentration in Subsurface Drain Flow (mg/L)*					
	(mm)	(mm) CP		NT	RT		
1990	939.0						
	Observed	51.9	61.6	38.2	39.1		
		(3.1)	(6.8)	(5.9)	(6.5)		
	Predicted	59.3	70.7	39.2	45.2		
	Percent difference	14.3	14.7	2.6	15.6		
1991	592.0						
	Observed	28.7	36.2	18.7	19.7		
		(3.2)	(3.2)	(1.9)	(3.6)		
	Predicted	28.0	36.4	20.1	21.7		
	Percent difference	2.5	0.6	7.5	10.1		
1992	738.0						
	Observed	16.6	18.6	11.7	11.0		
		(2.8)	(2.2)	(0.9)	(1.4)		
	Predicted	14.0	20.6	13.4	13.4		
	Percent difference	15.7	10.8	14.5	21.8		

 Numbers in the parentheses show standard deviation of three replications.



Figure 13–Simulated (lines) and observed (points) NO_3 -N concentrations in soil profile for DOY 150, 1990 (error bars show the standard deviation.

improvements in the model. Various NO_3 -N transformation rates need to be calibrated for the different tillage practices. NO_3 -N losses with lateral groundwater flow and deep seepage also need to be accounted for.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. This research was funded by the Leopold Center for Sustainable Agriculture and USDA-ARS through the MSEA project.

REFERENCES

- Ahmad, N., R. S. Kanwar, T. C. Kaspar and T. B. Baily. 1992. Effects of soil moisture submergence and a water table on vegetative growth and nutrient uptake of corn. *Transactions of the ASAE* 35(4):1173-1177.
- Baker, J. L. and H. P. Johnson. 1976. Impact of subsurface drainage on water quality. In *Proc. of Third Nat. Drainage Symp.* St. Joseph, Mich.: ASAE.
- Breve, M. A., R. W. Skaggs, H. Kandil, J. E. Parsons and J. W. Gilliam. 1992. Drainmod-N: A nitrogen model for artificially drained soils. In *Drainage and Water Table Control, Proc. of the Sixth Int. Drainage Symp.* Nashville, Tenn. St. Joseph, Mich.: ASAE.
- Duffy, J. C., C. Chung, C. Boast and M. Franklin. 1975. A simulation model of biophysiochemical transformation of nitrogen in tile drained corn belt soils. J. Environ. Qual. 4:477-486.
- Dutt, G. R., T. C. Tucker, M. J. Shaffer and W. J. Moore. 1970. Predicting the nitrate content of agricultural drain water. Final Report of Contract No. 14-06-D-6464. U.S. Dept. of Interior, Bureau of Reclamation. Washington D.C.: GPO.
- Kanwar, R. S., H. P. Johnson and J. L. Baker. 1983. Comparison of simulated and measured nitrate losses in the tile effluent. *Transactions of the ASAE* 26(5):1451-1457.
- Kanwar, R. S., J. L. Baker and D. G. Baker. 1988. Tillage and split N-fertilization effects on subsurface drainage water quality and crop yields. *Transactions of the ASAE* 31:453-460.
- Kanwar, R. S., D. G. Baker, P. Singh and K. M. Noh. 1990. A field system to monitor tillage and crop rotation effects on groundwater quality. ASAE Paper No. 90-2526. St. Joseph, Mich.: ASAE.
- Kanwar, R. S. and J. L. Baker. 1991. Long-term tillage effects on the quality of subsurface drainage and shallow water. In *Proc.* of the Conf. on Environmentally Sound Agriculture, ed. A. B. Bottcher. Orlando, Fla., 16-18 April 1991.

- Kanwar, R. S., D. L. Karlen, T. S. Colvin, W. W. Simpkins and V. J. McFadden. 1993a. Evaluation of tillage and crop rotation effects on groundwater quality – Nashua Project. A completion report prepared for Leopold Center for Sustainable Agriculture. Iowa State Univ., Ames.
- Kanwar, R. S., D. E. Stoltenberg, R. Pfiffer, D. Karlen, T. S. Colvin and W. W. Simpkins. 1993b. Transport of nitrate and pesticide to shallow groundwater system as affected by tillage and crop rotation practices. In *Proc. of Conf. on Agricultural Research to Protect Water Quality*. Ankeny, Iowa: Soil and Water Conserv. Soc.
- Kay, R. L. and J. L. Baker. 1989. Management with ridge tillage to reduce chemical losses. ASAE Paper No. 81-2157. St. Joseph, Mich.: ASAE.
- Kirkham, D. 1966. Steady state theories for drainage. Am. Soc. Civil Eng., J. Irrig. Drainage Div. 92:19-30.
- Leeds-Harrison, P. B., B. J. Vivian and W. C. T. Chamen. 1992. Tillage effects in drained clay soils. ASAE Paper No. 90-2648. St. Joseph, Mich.: ASAE.
- Luthin, J. N. 1966. Drainage Engineering. New York: Wiley & Sons.

- Shaffer, M. J., S. C. Gupta, D. R. Linden, J. A. E. Molina, C. E. Clapp and W. E. Larson. 1983. Simulation of nitrogen, tillage and residue management effects on soil fertility. In Analysis of Ecological Systems: State-of-the-Art in Ecological Modelling. Developments in Environmental Modelling, 5. eds. W. K. Lauenroth, G. E. Skogerboe and M. Flug, Amsterdam: Elsevier.
- Shaffer M. J. and W. E. Larson, eds. 1987. NTRM, a soil-crop simulation model for nitrogen, tillage and crop residue management. USDA-ARS, Conserv. Res. Rep. 34-2, National Technical Information Service, Springfield, Va.
- Singh, P. and R. S. Kanwar. 1995. Modification of RZWQM for simulating subsurface drainage by adding a tile flow component. *Transactions of the ASAE* 38(2):489-498.
- Skaggs, R. W. 1978. A water management model for shallow water table soil. Report No. 134. Water Resources Res. Inst. North Carolina State Univ., Raleigh.
- USDA-ARS. 1992. Root Zone Water Quality Model (RZWQM) V. 1.0. Technical Documentation. GPSR Technical Report No. 2. USDA-ARS Great Plains Systems Research Unit, Ft. Collins, Colo.
- Weed, D. J. 1992. Effect of tillage and crop rotation on soil nitrate and moisture. M.S. thesis, Iowa State Univ., Ames.