

Agricultural Law Press

Publisher/Editor
Robert P. Achenbach, Jr.
Contributing Editor
Dr. Neil E. Harl, Esq.

* * * * *

Issue Contents

Bankruptcy

Federal tax

Additional child tax credit **155** Earned income tax credit **155**

Federal Farm Programs

Citrus 155

Farm loans 155

Organic food 155

Federal Estate and Gift Taxation

Disclaimers 155

Federal Income Taxation

Bad debts 156

Business expenses 156

Capital costs 156

Charitable contributions 156

Corporations

Employees 157

Health insurance 157

Hobby losses 157

Innocent spouse relief 157

Installment reporting 158

Involuntary conversions 158

Lodging expenses 158

Losses 158

Medical expenses 159

Partnerships

Election to adjust basis 159

Pension plans 159

S corporations

Shareholder basis 159

Sham transactions 159

Agricultural Law Digest

Volume 25, No. 20 Octobe

October 17, 2014 ISSN 1051-2780

Accounting Options and Limits for Farming and Ranching Entities

-by Neil E. Harl

Recent discussions in Congressional Committees to limit or prohibit cash accounting in farm and ranch operations has generated considerable discussion.¹ On March 12, 2013, the Committee on Ways and Means of the United States House of Representatives issued a discussion draft of suggested ways to reform accounting practices used by small businesses.² Section 212 of the discussion draft suggested eliminating the use of cash accounting for farmers with gross receipts of more than \$10,000,000 which would affect farming and ranching operations structured as partnerships (including LLCs and LLPs) and S corporations as well as sole proprietorships. Section 213 of the discussion draft would change some of the requirements for the use of accrual accounting by C corporations, increasing the number of family corporations that would be required to use accrual accounting.

Current law on accounting methods in farming and ranching

Under current law, farm and ranch taxpayers may use cash accounting unless they are structured as a C corporation (with gross receipts of more than \$1,000,000)³ or as a family corporation (with gross receipts of more than \$25,000,000).⁴ The \$25,000,000 limit does not apply to S corporations.⁵

In addition, exceptions are provided for the \$1,000,000 limit for S corporations; family corporations if at least 50 percent of the stock is owned, directly or indirectly, by members of the same family; corporations engaged in the business of farming as of October 4, 1976, if members of two families own, directly or through attribution, at least 65 percent of the total voting stock and at least 65 percent of all other classes of stock; and corporations engaged in farming as of October 4, 1976, if three families own at least 50 percent of the total combined voting power of all classes of voting stock and at least 50 percent of all other classes of the corporation's stock and substantially all of the remainder of the corporation's stock is owned by the corporate employees or their families or an exempt trust for the benefit of employees.

Small businesses with average annual gross receipts for the past three years of less than \$10,000,000 would be permitted to use cash accounting. Among the exceptions is a specification that farm operations required to use accrual accounting *are not eligible for that provision*.

Suspense accounts

A provision allowing farm corporations required to shift to accrual accounting to

Agricultural Law Digest is published by the Agricultural Law Press, 127 Young Rd., Kelso, WA 98626 (ph 360-200-5666), bimonthly except June and December. Annual subscription \$90 by e-mail. Copyright 2014 by Robert P. Achenbach, Jr. and Neil E. Harl. No part of this newsletter may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, recording or by any information storage or retrieval system, without prior permission in writing from the publisher. http://www.agrilawpress.com Printed on recycled paper.

^{*} Charles F. Curtiss Distinguished Professor in Agriculture and Emeritus Professor of Economics, Iowa State University; member of the Iowa Bar.

154 Agricultural Law Digest

establish a "suspense account" in lieu of making adjustments that would otherwise have to be made¹² was repealed, effective for taxable years ending after June 8, 1997.¹³ Farm and ranch corporations with suspense accounts were required to report the account into income ratably over a 20-year period beginning in the first taxable year beginning after June 8, 1997 or 50 percent of the corporation's taxable income for the year, or if the corporation has no taxable income for the year, the amount of any net operating loss for the year.¹⁴

Other features of cash accounting

While the rules governing required shifting from cash accounting to accrual are important and can easily trip up an operation not checking its gross receipts carefully, the day-to-day features of cash accounting are what yield benefits to farm and ranch taxpayers. Those benefits include not reporting gains in the production of crops or livestock until actually sold and payments are received¹⁵ as well as eligibility for a long list of special provisions that require cash accounting for eligibility¹⁶ including the deferral of crop insurance, preventing planting payments and disaster payments;¹⁷ and deferral of income from livestock sales because of weather-related conditions.¹⁸

However, the benefits of simplicity in claiming deductions and reporting income are also of benefit to farm and ranch taxpayers. The high percentage of farmers and ranchers on cash accounting attested to the overall benefits of that method of accounting.

ENDNOTES

- ¹ I.R.C. § 446(c) (permissible methods of accounting). See generally 4 Harl, *Agricultural Law* Ch. 25 (2014); Harl, *Agricultural Law Manual* § 4.01 (2014); 1 Harl, *Farm Income Tax Manual* § 1.07 (2014 ed.). See also Harl, *Reporting Farm Income*, Tax Management Portfolio 608-3rd, § XX (2012).
- ² Proposed Tax Reform Act of 2013, Title II, "Tax Reform for Businesses," March 12, 2013.
- ³ I.R.C. § 447(d)(1). A partnership engaged in farming is required to be on accrual accounting if a corporation is a partner in the partnership. I.R.C. § 447(a)(2).
 - ⁴ I.R.C. § 447(d)(2).
 - ⁵ I.R.C. § 447(c)(1).
 - ⁶ I.R.C. § 447(c)(1).
- ⁷ I.R.C. § 447(d)(2)(A), 447(d)(2)(C). Ltr. Rul. 8406003, Oct. 18, 1983 (corporate subsidiary operating cattle feedlot; parent corporation met family ownership test). See Cal-Maine Foods, Inc. v. Comm'r, 93 T.C. 181 (1989) (publicly-held farming corporation satisfied family corporation exception; one-half of preferred stock had been purchased from unrelated corporation by controlling shareholder with a majority subsequently redeemed).
 - ⁸ I.R.C. § 447(h)(1)(A).
 - ⁹ I.R.C. § 447(h)(1)(B).
 - ¹⁰ Notice 2001-76, 2001-2 C.B. 613.
 - ¹¹ *Id*.

- 12 I.R.C. § 447(i).
- ¹³ I.R.C. § 447(i)(5), added by Taxpayer Relief Act of 1997, Pub. L. No. 105-34, § 1081(a), 111 Stat. 788, 949 (1997).
- ¹⁴ I.R.C. § 447(i)(5)(B)(i). See CCA 200715007, March 8, 2007 (where assets transferred to newly-formed subsidiary, income of wholly-owned subsidiary added to parent's income for calculations under I.R.C. § 447(i)(5)(B)(i); CCA 200809029, Nov. 19, 2007 (family farm corporation did not correctly reduce its suspense account and did not include suspense account in gross income for purposes of its net operating loss carryover; taxpayer permitted to reduce its suspense account to the extent it increased taxable income and decreased net operating loss carryover to a succeeding year).
- ¹⁵ See I.R.C. § 453(b)(2)(B) (the legitimate deferral of crops and livestock (and other farm products under cash accounting until payment is received under installment reporting rules); Rev. Rul. 58-162, 1958-1 C.B. 234 (binding contract for the sale of crops with payment the following year effectively defers income until the year of actual receipt).
 - ¹⁶ E.g., I.R.C. § 451(d).
 - ¹⁷ *Id*.
 - ¹⁸ I.R.C. § 451(e).

FARM ESTATE AND BUSINESS PLANNING

by Neil E. Harl NEW 18th Edition Available

The Agricultural Law Press is honored to publish the revised 18th Edition of Dr. Neil E. Harl's excellent guide for farmers and ranchers who want to make the most of the state and federal income and estate tax laws to assure the least expensive and most efficient transfer of their estates to their children and heirs. The 18th Edition includes all new income and estate tax developments from the 2012 tax legislation and Affordable Care Act.

We also offer a PDF version for computer and tablet use for \$25.00.

Print and digital copies can be ordered directly from the Press by sending a check for \$35 (print version) or \$25 (PDF version) to Agricultural Law Press, 127 Young Rd., Kelso, WA 98626. Please include your e-mail address if ordering the PDF version and the digital file will be e-mailed to you.

Credit card purchases can be made online at www.agrilawpress. com or by calling Robert at 360-200-5666 in Kelso, WA.

For more information, contact robert@agrilawpress.com.