

UNIVERSITY OF NEW HAMPSHIRE  
 Department of Plant Science  
 Durham, NH 03824

1) Electrophoretic classification of selected *G. max* plant introductions and named cultivars in the late maturity groups.

Over the last few years, we have been classifying various *G. max* and *G. soja* lines based on their electrophoretic zymogram patterns. In the 1982 Soybean Genetics Newsletter (Gorman et al., 1982), we published a table compiling electrophoretic profiles for most of the named soybean cultivars in the early maturity groups (000-IV). Listed on the table below is a similar compilation of electrophoretic profiles (zymogram types for 12 enzyme systems) for 60 *G. max* PIs (10 originating from Northeast China, 10 from Central China, 20 from Korea, and 20 from Japan) as well as 90 named cultivars in the late maturity groups (V-VIII). Descriptions of these zymogram types and/or information on their inheritance patterns have been published previously (Gorman, 1983; Gorman et al., 1983; Kiang and Gorman, 1983; Gorman et al., 1982; Kiang, 1981; Gorman and Kiang, 1978). More than one variable locus has been identified in either *G. max* or *G. soja* for several of the enzymes (ADH, Am, Dia, LAP, IDH, PGD, PGM and TO) and all of the zymograms, except MPI, represent the products of more than one enzymatic locus. Thus, most of the zymogram types represent multiple loci phenotypes. Each number in the body of the table represents the zymogram type observed for the particular enzyme (columns) and cultivar or PI (rows). The enzyme abbreviations used were: ADH for alcohol dehydrogenase, Am for amylase, AP for acid phosphatase, Dia for diaphorase, GPD for glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase, IDH for isocitrate dehydrogenase, LAP for leucine amino peptidase, MPI for mannose-6-phosphate isomerase, PGD for phosphogluconate dehydrogenase, PGI for phosphoglucose isomerase, PGM for phosphoglucomutase and TO for tetrazolium oxidase. The initial electrophoretic screening consisted of an examination of five seeds (electrophoresed in four different gels) from each cultivar or PI, tested for all 12 enzymes. If all of the enzymes were not satisfactorily resolved, or when unusual results (i.e., mixed zymogram types) were obtained, additional seeds were tested in subsequent electrophoretic runs. Thus, each number in the body of the table represents the observations made on a minimum of five seeds. When two or more numbers are listed, the cultivar or PI had a mixture of these zymogram types. Cultivars and PIs were considered to have a mixed zymogram only when seeds were classified into two or more zymogram types on repeated electrophoretic runs. When only one or two seeds from a single electrophoretic run showed a different zymogram from the majority of seeds for that line, these seeds were considered atypical and the cultivar or PI was not considered mixed. It was felt that one or two unreplicated seeds with abnormal zymograms were more likely the result of scoring or seed handling mistakes by us, rather than line impurities. PI seeds were obtained from Dr. R. L. Bernard (USDA-ARS at the University of Illinois), while seeds from the late maturity cultivars were obtained from Dr. T. C. Kilen (USDA-ARS at Stoneville, MS).



Zymogram Types

PI or Cultivar	Enzymes											
	ADH	Am	TO	AP	LAP	PGD	GPD	PGM	Dia	MPI	IDH	PGI
Northeast China												
103414	2	1	1	2	1	1	1	2	1	2	6	1
103415	1	2	1	3	1	2	1	2	4	1	3	1
103419	1	1	1	1	1	1,2	2	2	1	1	7	1
135589	1	1	1	3	1	2	1	2	2	2	2	1
135590	1	1	1	2	1	2	1	2	2	2	1	1
232987	1	1	1	1		2	1	2	2	2	1	1
232988	1	1	1	3		2	1	2	2	2	1	1
232989	1	1	1	3		2	1	2	2	2	1	1
232990	1	1	1	3		2	1	2	2	2	1	1
232991	1	1	1	3		1	1	2	2	2	2	1
Central China												
103080	1	2	1	3		1	1	1	1	2	1	1
103088	1	1	1	3		1	1	2	2	2	1	1
103091	1	2	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	1
123577	1	1	1	2	1	1	2	2	2	1	3	1
158765	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	3	3	3	1
253650A	1	1	1	2	1	1	2	1	2	1	7	1
253650B	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	4	2	5	1
253651A	1	1	1	2	1	1		1	1	2	3	1
253651B	1	2	1	1	1	1	2	1	2	2	7	1
253653A	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	2	1	2	3	1
Korea												
157395	1,3	1	1	2	1	1	2	2	2	2	7	1
157396	1	1	1	2	1	1	2	1	2	2	7	1
157396	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	2	2	2	7	1
157398	3	1	1	3	1	1,2	1	1	2	2	7	1
157401	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	1	2	3	3
157402	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	2	2	2	7	1
157404	1	1	1	2	1	2	2	2	2	2	7	1
157405	1	2	1	2	1	1,2	1	2	2	2	7	1
157408	1	1	1	2	1	1	2	1	2	2	7	1
157409	1	1	1	2	1	2	1	1	2	5	7	1
157410	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	7	1
157414	3	1	1	2	1	1	2	1	1	2	7	1
157416	1	1	1	2	1	1	2	2	1	2	3	1
157417	1	1	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	1	7	1
157419	1	1	1	2	1	2	1	1	1	1	7	1

Zymogram Types

PI or Cultivar	Enzymes											
	ADH	Am	TO	AP	LAP	PGD	GPD	PGM	Dia	MPI	IDH	PGI
157421	1	2	1	3	1	1	1	2	2	2	7	1
157424	1	2	1	2	1	1	1	2	1	2	7	1
157428	1	2	1	2	1	1	2	2	1	2	7	1
157429	1	2	1	1	1	1	2	1	2	3	7	1
157431	1	1	1	2	1	1	2	1	2	2	7	1

Japan

124871	1	2	1	3	1	2	1	1	1	1	7	1
181531	1	1	1	2	1	2	2	2	1	5	7	1
181532	1	1	1	2	1	2		2	1	5	7	1
181533	1	1	1	2	1	1	2	2	4	2	3	1
181534	1	1	1	2		1	1	2	1	1	7	1
181535	3	1	1	2	1	1	1	2	2	2	6	1
181536	1	1	1	3	1	2	1	1	2	2	7	1
181537	1	1	1	2	1	1		1	2	2	7	1
181538		1	1	2	1	1	2	2	4	1	3	1
181539		1	1	2	1	1	2	1	4	2	3	1
181540	1	1	1	2	1	2		1	2	5	7	1
181541	1	1	1	2	1	2	1	1	2	5	7	1
181542		1	1	2	1	2		1	8	5	7	1
181548		1	1	2	1	2		2	2	1	7	1
181549		1	1	2	1	2		1	1	5	5	1
181550	3	1	1	2	1	2	2	1	2	1	8	1
181551	1	1	1	2	1	1	2	1	2	2	5	1
181552	1	1	1	2	1	2	2	2	1	1	7	1
181553	1	1	1	2	1	1	2	1	2	5	7	1
181554	1	1	1	2	1	1	2	1	2	5	7	1

Southern Maturity

Acadian	1	1	1	2	1	1	2	2	2	3	3	1
Arisoy	1	1	1	2	1	1	2	2	2	3	3	1
Arksoy	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	2	2	3	1
Armredo	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	2	3	2	3	
Avoyells	1	1	1	2	1	1	2	2	1	2	5	1
Barchet	1	1	1	2	1	1	2	2	1	2	3	1
Bedford	1	1	1	2	1	1	2	1	1	2	5	1
Biloxi	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	2	1	2	3	1
Bosier	1	1	1	2	1			1	1	2	5	
Bragg	1	1	1	2	1	1	2	1	1	2	5	1
Centennial	1	1	1	2	1	1	2	1	2	2	5	1
Charlee	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	3	1	1
Cherokee	1	1	1	2	1	1	2	2	1	3	3	1
Creole	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	2	2	3	3	1
Clemson	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	2	2	1	1,3	1

## Zymogram Types

PI or Cultivar	Enzymes											
	ADH	Am	TO	AP	LAP	PGD	GPD	PGM	Dia	MPI	IDH	PGI
Cobb	2	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	2	3	5	1
Coke Stewart	1	1	1	2	1	1	2	2	1	1	1	1
Columbus	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	2	1	2	5	1
Dare	1	1	1	2	1	1	1,2	1	2	2	7	1
Dortschoy	1	1	1	2	1	1	1,2	2	1,2	2	5,7	1
Davis	3	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	2	2	7	1
Delsta	1	1	1	2	1	2	2	2	1	1	2	1
Delsoy	2	1	1	3	1	1	1	2	2	1	7	1
Dixie	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	3	1
Dyer	1	1	1	2	1	1	2	1	1,2	2	7	1
Easycook	3	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	3	1
Essex	3	1	1	2	1	1	2	1	1	2	5,7	1
Forrest	1	1	1	2	1	1	2	1	1	2	5	1
Gaton	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	2	1	3	3	1
Georgian	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	2	2	2	3	1
Hampton 266	1	1	1	2	1	2	2	1	1	1	5	1
Haberlandt	1	1	1	3	1	1	1	1	2	2	7	1
Hardee	3	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	2	2	1	1
Harvell	1	1	1	2	1	2	1	2	7	2	7	1
Hayseed	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	2	2	1	1
Hinn	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	2	1	2	1	1
Hollybrook	1	1	1	2	1	2	1	1	2	2	7	1
Hood	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	2	2	2	7	1
Hutton	1	1	1	2	1	1	2	1	1	2	7	1
Imp'd. Pelican		1	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	3	3	1
Jackson	2	1	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	3	5	1
Jew 45	1	1	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	1	5	1
Jupiter	1	1	1	2	1	1	2	1	1	2	7	1
Kino	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	2	7	1
La Green	1,2	1	1	2	1	1	2	2	1,2	1	3	1
Laredo	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	2	4	2	1	1
Lee	1	1	1	2	1	1	2	1	2	2	5	1
Lee 68	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	2	1,5	1
Lee 74	1	1	1	2	1	1	1,2	1	1,2	2	1,5	1
Luthy	1	1	1	3	1	1	2	1	8	1	7	1
Mack	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	2	2	5	1
Magnolia	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	2	2	3	1
Majors	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	2	1	1	3	1
Mamloxi	1	1	1	2	1	1	2	2	1	3	2	1
Mammoth Yellow	1	1	1	2	1	1	2	3	1	2	1,3	1
Mamredo	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	2	2	2	5	1
Manotan 6640	1	1	1	2	1	2	2	2	1	3	2	1
Missoy	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	3	1	1
Monetta	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	2	3	1	1
Nanda	1	1	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	1	5	1



## Zymogram Types

PI or Cultivar	Enzymes											
	ADH	Am	TO <sup>a</sup>	AP	LAP <sup>b</sup>	PGD	GPD	PGM	Dia	MPI	IDH	PGI
Nansemond	1	1	1	2	1	2	1	2	7	2	7	1
Nela	3	1	1	1	1	2	1	2	2	1	8	1
Old Dominion	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	2	2	2	1	
Palmetto	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	2	1	3	1	1
Pickett	1	1	1,2	2	1	1	2	1	1	2	5	1
Pickett 71	1	1	1		1	1	2	1	1	2	5	1
Pine Delta												
Perfection	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	2	2	2	3	1
Pluto	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	2	1	2	1	1
Pochahontas	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	5	1
Ralsoy	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	2	2	3	1
Roanoke	2	1	1	2	1	2	1	1	2	2	5	1
Rokusun	1	1	1	2	1	2	1	1	1	1	5,6	1
Rose Non-Pop	1	2	1	3	1	1	2	1	2	2	7	1
S-100	2	1	1	2	1	1	2	1	1	2	5	1
Seminole	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	2	1,2	2	3	1
Semmes	1	1	1	2	1	2	2	1	1	2	7	1
Tanner	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	2	1	3	3	1
Tarheel Black	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	2	1	2	3	1
Tenn Non-Pop	2	1	1	2	1	2	1	2	2	1	5	1
Tokyo	1	1	1	2	1	2	1	2	2	2	7	1
Tracy and												
Tracy-M	1	1	1	2	1	2	2	1	2	2	7	1
Volstate	3	1	1	2	1	2	1	1	2	2	5	1
White Biloxi	1	1	1	2	1	1	2	2	1	3	3	1
Wood Yellow	1	1	1	2	1	1,2	1	2	1	2	6	1
Yelnanda	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	2	1	1	4	1
Yelredo	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	2	1	1	4	1
York	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	2	2	5	1

Total number of seeds scored: 847 750 849 797 788 1033 810 788 908 700 1113 750

Total number of mixed lines: 2 0 1 0 0 3 3 0 5 0 7 0

Total number of atypical seeds: 2 0 0 2 0 6 2 2 3 2 8 0

<sup>a</sup>Only the variable locus To<sub>4</sub> was scored in this table (Type-1 vs. Type-2 TO zymograms), an additional variant TO zymogram (Type-3) was not tested for.

<sup>b</sup>Only the variable locus Lap<sub>1</sub> was scored in this table (zymogram types 1, 2 or 3), an additional LAP variable locus (Lap<sub>2</sub>) was not scored for.

## References

- Gorman, M. B. 1983. An electrophoretic analysis of the genetic variation in the wild and cultivated soybean germplasm. Ph.D. dissertation. Univ. of New Hampshire, Durham, NH.
- Gorman, M. B., Y. T. Kiang, R. G. Palmer and Y. C. Chiang. 1983. Inheritance of soybean electrophoretic variants. Soybean Genet. Newsl. 10:67-84.
- Gorman, M. B., Y. T. Kiang, R. G. Palmer and Y. C. Chiang. 1982. Electrophoretic classification of the early maturity groups of named soybean cultivars. Soybean Genet. Newsl. 9:143-156.
- Gorman, M. B. and Y. T. Kiang. 1978. Models for the inheritance of several variant soybean electrophoretic zymograms. J. Hered. 60:255-258.
- Kiang, Y. T. 1981. Inheritance and variation of amylase in cultivated and wild soybeans and their wild relatives. J. Hered. 72:382-386.
- Kiang, Y. T. and M. B. Gorman. 1983. Soybean. Pages 295-325 in S. D. Tanksley and T. J. Orton (eds.). Isoenzymes in plant genetics and breeding, Part B. Elsevier Scien. Pub. Co.

M. B. Gorman

Y. T. Kiang

Y. C. Chiang

## 2) Linkage of electrophoretic loci.

In our studies of the inheritance of various electrophoretic variants, we have examined  $F_2$  segregation data from many crosses (see Gorman, 1983, for a listing). Many of these crosses were segregating for multiple loci, allowing linkage data to be collected. Table 1 represents a summary of the linkage patterns we have observed between the listed electrophoretic loci (see Gorman and Kiang, 1978; Kiang, 1981; Gorman et al., 1983, concerning the establishment of these loci). Only the locus pairs for which we had data from a minimum of 95  $F_2$  seeds were included in Table 1, while just those pairs that showed an independent segregation pattern based on greater than 300  $F_2$  seeds, or a conclusive linkage pattern, were listed without question marks. The question marks were used to indicate insufficient data to firmly establish a linked pair or to detect the possibility of weak linkage. The product method (Immer and Henderson, 1943) was used to calculate  $F_2$  recombination percentages and standard errors. To facilitate the use of the product method, those loci showing a codominant segregation pattern had their heterozygous class bulked with one of their homozygous classes. Sixty-six gene pairs had  $F_2$  segregation ratios consistent with independent assortment, but in 45 of those pairs the number of seeds tested was too small to be able to eliminate the possibility of weak linkage. The segregation data and appropriate  $\chi^2$  values for those 7 gene pairs found to have a recombination fraction 2 or more standard errors below 0.5 are listed on Table 2. Three of these gene pairs,  $Adh_1$  with  $Adh_4$  (Gorman and Kiang, 1978),  $Ap$  with  $Lap_1$  and  $Pgd$  with  $Pgi$ , showed conclusive linkage results. The establishment of possible linkage of  $Am_3$  with  $Lap_1$  and of  $Idh_1$  with  $Lap_1$  was clouded by the significant deviation (from 3:1) observed in their single-locus ratios. The data for the  $Ap$  with  $Idh_1$  and  $Ap$  with  $Idh_3$  gene pairs are consistent with weak linkages, but the number of  $F_2$  seeds tested was too



small to detect a significant deviation from independence with a  $\chi^2$  test. However, since the *Ap* and *Lap*<sub>1</sub> loci are clearly linked and since both the *Idh*<sub>1</sub> with *Lap*<sub>1</sub> and *Ap* with *Idh*<sub>1</sub> gene pairs gave indications of linkage, it seems likely that *Ap*, *Lap*<sub>1</sub> and *Idh*<sub>1</sub> belong in the same linkage group. Hildebrand et al. (1980) reported that the *Ti* and *Ap* loci were linked, belonging to linkage group 9. Therefore, *Lap*<sub>1</sub> can be placed in linkage group 9, with *Idh*<sub>1</sub> likely also belonging to this group. Since *Am*<sub>3</sub> showed independent segregation with *Ap*, *Idh*<sub>1</sub>, and *Ti* (Gorman, 1983; Hildebrand et al., 1980; Orf and Hymowitz, 1977), its membership in this group is questionable. The linkage groups to which the *Adh*<sub>1</sub> with *Adh*<sub>4</sub> and the *Pgd* with *Pgi* gene pairs belong is not known.

Table 1. Linkage relationships between electrophoretic loci

	Loci														
	<i>Adh</i> <sub>4</sub>	<i>Am</i> <sub>3</sub>	<i>Ap</i>	<i>Di</i> <sub>1</sub>	<i>Di</i> <sub>2</sub>	<i>Di</i> <sub>3</sub>	<i>Gpd</i>	<i>Idh</i> <sub>1</sub>	<i>Idh</i> <sub>2</sub>	<i>Idh</i> <sub>3</sub>	<i>Lap</i> <sub>1</sub>	<i>Mpi</i>	<i>Pgd</i>	<i>Pgi</i>	<i>Pgm</i> <sub>1</sub>
<i>Adh</i> <sub>1</sub>	L	I? <sup>a</sup>		I?			I?	I?	I?		I?	L?			
<i>Am</i> <sub>3</sub>			I?	I	I?		I?	I	I?	I	?	I?			I
<i>Ap</i>				I?				L?		L?	L		I?	I?	I?
<i>Di</i> <sub>1</sub>					I?	I?	I	I?	I	I?	I	I?	I?		I?
<i>Di</i> <sub>2</sub>						I?	I?	I?	I?	I	I?	I?	I?		I?
<i>Di</i> <sub>3</sub>										I?		I?	I?		
<i>Gpd</i>								I?	I	I	I?	I	I		I
<i>Idh</i> <sub>1</sub>									I	I?	L?	I?	I?		I?
<i>Idh</i> <sub>2</sub>										I	I	I?			I
<i>Idh</i> <sub>3</sub>											I?	I?	I?		I
<i>Lap</i> <sub>1</sub>												I?			I
<i>Mpi</i>													I		I
<i>Pgd</i>														L	I
<i>Pgi</i>															

<sup>a</sup>Only gene pairs with 95 or more segregating *F*<sub>2</sub> seeds were included in the table, while only gene pairs with greater than 300 segregating *F*<sub>2</sub> seeds or conclusive linkage data were typed without a question mark. I stands for independent assortment, while L stands for linked loci.

Table 2. Examination of the gene pairs with a recombination fraction of 2 or more S.E. below 0.5

Gene A	Pair :	B	Phase	Phenotypic class				$\chi^2(3:1)$	$\chi^2(3:1)$	$\chi^2(9:3:3:1)$	Product/Method		Conclusion
				A	B	C	D	Locus A	Locus B	Loci A & B	%R	S.E.	
<i>Adh</i> <sub>1</sub>	:	<i>Adh</i> <sub>4</sub>	C	315	3	6	122	3.25	2.17	484.0**	2	1%	Linked
<i>Am</i> <sub>3</sub>	:	<i>Lap</i> <sub>1</sub>	R	562	192	168	34	7.6**	0.95	13.81**	43	3%	Questionable
<i>Ap</i>	:	<i>Idh</i> <sub>1</sub>	R	65	37	32	7	0.49	2.00	7.10*	36	6%	Possible, but inconclusive
			C	19	4	5	3						
<i>Ap</i>	:	<i>Idh</i> <sub>3</sub>	R	29	7	13	1	0.09	3.60	3.14	38	6%	Possible, but inconclusive
			C	53	10	15	6						
<i>Ap</i>	:	<i>Lap</i> <sub>1</sub>	R	452	202	214	12	0.21	0.21	60.21**	23	3%	Linked
<i>Idh</i> <sub>1</sub>	:	<i>Lap</i> <sub>1</sub>	C	185	53	70	42	9.17**	0.85	21.90**	40	4%	Possible, but inconclusive
<i>Pgd</i>	:	<i>Pgi</i>	C	112	14	19	27	0.28	0.12	42.80**	21	4%	Linked

\*Significant  $\chi^2$  deviation at the 0.1 level. \*\*Significant  $\chi^2$  deviation at the 0.01 level.



## References

- Gorman, M. B. 1983. An electrophoretic analysis of the genetic variation in the wild and cultivated soybean germplasm. Ph.D. dissertation. Univ. of New Hampshire, Durham, NH.
- Gorman, M. B. and Y. T. Kiang. 1978. Models for the inheritance of several variant soybean electrophoretic zymograms. *J. Hered.* 60:255-258.
- Gorman, M. B., Y. T. Kiang, R. G. Palmer and Y. C. Chiang. 1983. Inheritance of soybean electrophoretic variants. *Soybean Genet. Newsl.* 10:67-84.
- Hildebrand, D. F., J. H. Orf and T. Hymowitz. 1980. Inheritance of an acid phosphatase and its linkage with the Kunitz trypsin inhibitor in seed protein of soybeans. *Crop Sci.* 20:83-85.
- Immer, F. R. and M. T. Henderson. 1943. Linkage studies in barley. *Genetics* 28:419-440.
- Kiang, Y. T. 1981. Inheritance and variation of amylase in cultivated and wild soybeans and their wild relatives. *J. Hered.* 72:382-386.
- Orf, J. H. and T. Hymowitz. 1977. Linkage test between  $Sp_i$  and  $Ti$  seed proteins. *Soybean Genet. Newsl.* 5:22.

M. B. Gorman  
Y. T. Kiang  
Y. C. Chiang

We would also like to acknowledge the significant contributions made by R. G. Palmer and T. E. Devine who provided many of the  $F_2$  seeds used in our studies.

### 3) Inheritance of a second leucine aminopeptidase locus and tests of its linkage with other loci.

In dry soybean seed, only one leucine amino peptidase (LAP) anodal band was observed by acrylamide slab gel electrophoresis (Gorman et al., 1983). This band gradually declined in intensity and disappeared in all tissues about 10-12 days after germination. Three mobility variants ( $R_f$ 's 0.59, 0.53, and 0.58) were observed in the band, which was controlled by a single locus (Gorman et al., 1983; Kiang and Gorman, 1983; Gorman, 1983).

A second LAP anodal band ( $R_f$  0.80) was detected in all tissue of both *G. max* and *G. soja* plants about 8 days after germination. Cotyledons from 12- to 15-day-old seedlings were used to screen for the second LAP variant by acrylamide slab gel electrophoresis.

One activity variant and three mobility variants of the second LAP band were observed among 400 *G. max* cultivars and 140 *G. soja* accessions examined. The null activity variant found in cultivar 'Jefferson' did not show enzyme activity in any tissue. Based on the progeny of the crosses between 'Amsoy' and Jefferson, Jefferson x Amsoy and Jefferson and 'Wilson', genetic analysis indicated that the null was recessive to the active allele, and the  $F_2$  segregated into a 3:1 ratio (Table 1). Since there was no difference between reciprocal crosses, it was controlled by a nuclear gene. This second LAP locus is designated *Lap2* to distinguish it from the first LAP locus (*Lap1*), and the null allele is designated as *lap2*.

Table 1. The  $F_2$  data segregating for leucine aminopeptidase activity at the *Lap2* locus in soybean

Cross	Zymogram:	Present	Null	$\chi^2$ (3:1)	P
	Genotype:	<i>Lap2</i>	<i>lap2 lap2</i>		
Jefferson x Amsoy <i>lap2 lap2</i> x <i>Lap2 Lap2</i>		98	28	0.519	0.6
Amsoy x Jefferson <i>Lap2 Lap2</i> x <i>lap2 lap2</i>		105	33	0.093	0.9
Total		203	61	0.505	0.6

The  $F_2$  dihybrids segregation data were used to test linkage relationships by the maximum likelihood method (Allard, 1956). The results showed that the *Lap1* and *Lap2* loci were unlinked (Table 1A), and the *Am3* locus (Gorman and Kiang, 1978) was found to be inherited independently of the *Lap2* locus (Table 2B). The  $F_2$  data from dihybrids involving the *Lap2* and the hypocotyl color trait were examined. The  $F_2$  data showed that the purple hypocotyl color was dominant to the green, and segregated into a 3:1 ratio. The hypocotyl color is controlled by the gene pair ( $W_1 w_1$ ) that also controls flower color by pleiotropic effect (Bernard and Weiss, 1983; Palmer and Payne, 1979). The linkage test indicated that the *Lap2* locus was linked with the hypocotyl color locus  $W_1$  with  $40.9 \pm 4.0$  map units between them (Table 2C). Thus, *Lap2* would belong to linkage group 8 (Palmer and Kaul, 1983). The estimate is based on a sample size of 268 plants, and a larger sample size is needed to make a more accurate estimate.

Table 2. The  $F_2$  data from soybean dihybrids segregating for several gene pairs

Gene pair	Cross	Phase	Phenotype frequency				$\chi^2$ (9:3:3:1)
			a	b	c	d	
A. <i>Lap1-Lap2</i>	Jefferson x Wilson	R	214	80	87	31	3.758
B. <i>Am3-Lap2</i>	Amsoy x Jefferson	R	107	31	32	11	0.638
C. <i>Lap2-W_1</i>	Amsoy x Jefferson	R	154	50	39	25	6.653

### References

- Allard, R. W. 1956. Formulas and tables to facilitate the calculation of recombination values in heredity. *Hilgardia* 24:253-278.
- Bernard, R. L. and M. G. Weiss. 1973. Qualitative genetics. Pages 117-154 in B. E. Caldwell (ed.). *Soybeans: Improvement, production and uses*. American Society of Agronomy, Inc.



- Gorman, M. B. 1983. An electrophoretic analysis of the genetic variation in the wild and cultivated soybean germplasm. Ph.D. dissertation. Univ. of New Hampshire.
- Gorman, M. B. and Y. T. Kiang. 1978. Models for the inheritance of several variant soybean electrophoretic zymograms. *J. Heredity* 69:255-258.
- Gorman, M. B., Y. T. Kiang, R. G. Palmer and Y. C. Chiang. 1983. Inheritance of soybean electrophoretic variants. *Soybean Genet. Newsl.* 10:67-84.
- Kiang, Y. T. and M. B. Gorman. 1983. Soybean. Pages 295-328 in S. D. Tanksley and T. J. Orton (eds.). *Isozymes in plant genetics and breeding, Part B.* Elsevier Science Publishers.
- Palmer, R. G. and R. C. Payne. 1979. Genetic control of hypocotyl pigmentation among white-flowered soybeans grown in continuous light. *Crop Sci.* 19:124-126.
- Palmer, R. G. and M. L. H. Kaul. 1983. Genetics, cytology, and linkage studies of a desynaptic soybean mutant. *J. Hered.* 74:260-264.

Y. T. Kiang  
Y. C. Chiang  
M. B. Gorman\*

---

\*Present address: Biology Department, Baldwin-Wallace College, Berea, Ohio 44017.