

The Strengths and Weaknesses of Amazon.com and Macy's as Fashion Retailers

Ashley Simmons and Ji Hye Kang, The University of Rhode Island, USA

KEYWORDS: Amazon.com, Macy's, brand association, multi-attribute model

Introduction and purpose of the study: Amazon, the online retailer, is moving toward becoming one of the top global fashion retailers. Since 2016 when Amazon launched seven private brands, the company has extended and enhanced its fashion business. As a result, Amazon's clothing and accessory sales have surged and were expected to grow nearly 30% in 2017 to \$25 billion. Comparatively, the apparel and accessory sales of a traditional fashion retailer, Macy's, were expected to drop by 4% to \$22 billion in the same year (Business Insider, 2017). This phenomenon, the online retailer's emergence as a fashion retailer and the traditional brick and mortar fashion retailer's decline in sales, calls for research into the advantages and disadvantages of both entities as fashion retailers.

It is important to understand consumer behavior so that retailers can cater to the target market properly and thus increase profitability (Perner, 2017). Hence, this research aimed to understand the retailers' advantages and disadvantages from the perspectives of their target consumers. Then, we conducted a series of research projects in the consumer behavior course in Fall 2017.

The concept of brand association that Aaker (1991) provided as a dimension of brand equity and a determinant of consumers' attitudes toward a brand was used to explore reasons of consumers' evaluations as brand associations that encompass the meanings evoked by the brand name to consumers. We also used a multi-attribute model to more specifically understand attributes, beliefs, and weights consumers have for the retailers (Solomon, 2008).

Methods: This research data was twofold: qualitative and quantitative. Interviews for qualitative data and a one-page questionnaire for quantitative data were utilized. Each individual in the course conducted interviews and surveys with a minimum of five consumers and coded the data using an Excel file. For analysis, we used data compiled from all students' investigations. The instruments were developed through three steps. First, we, all students in the course, participated in a focus group interview moderated by the instructor to discuss our thoughts on the two retailers and our shopping behaviors. Second, based on the focus group interview and literature review, we, as a team, determined baby boomers and millennials as the target consumer groups of our research and developed interview questions for brand association and follow-up questions. Third, each student provided possible attributes and questions to use the multiattribute model, and the questionnaire finalized by the course instructor was used. Brand, comfort, price, fit, durability, trendiness, and quality were selected as fashion attributes and included in the multi-attribute model. Convenience sampling was used, and a total of 109 respondents' data was used for analysis: 63.3% females and 36.7% males, and 60.6% millennials and 39.4% baby boomers. Qualitative data were mainly analyzed using world cloud maps available on the Internet, and quantitative data were analyzed using Excel.

Results: Based on the data analysis, we found similarities and differences across genders and

Page 1 of 3

generations in evaluating the two retailers. Overall, the main weaknesses of Amazon.com are a lower quality of items (Figure 1) and a lack of reliable brands (Table 1). The advantages of Amazon are detailed customer reviews that help drive consumer buying decisions and a large variety of items with many different options; the free two-day shipping is also a prominent

Clothing though Cheap maybe date amazon Amazon.com theck names shoes buy noting choose say because fashion brands prev Basics sale items fits c great were think fusionate quality

Figure 1. An example of brand association of Amazon's fashion merchandise (Millennials-female)

strength of Amazon. The main consumer group for Amazon's fashion business would be millennials because the interviews revealed that millennials value online shopping and have an open mind and willingness to buy Amazon's fashion labels. Although millennials do not typically associate Amazon with fashion (they use it more for textbooks and other miscellaneous amenities), there is a potential for this market. Multi-attribute model results also support this finding; even though all genders and generations show more positive attitudes toward Macy's than Amazon, the discrepancy between the attitude scores

of Amazon and Macy's is smallest among male millennials, followed by female millennials.

In contrast, the main consumer group for Macy's fashion business would be baby boomers because there is increased brand awareness for fashion items at Macy's, and boomers have shopped there more frequently than at Amazon. Also, boomers prefer Macy's for fashion items because boomers put more of an emphasis on fit, quality, and comfort according to the quantitative data analysis, and Macy's is strongly regarded in these attributes. Millennials are interested in Macy's as well since they also value fit and quality; however, this generational cohort is not as familiar with shopping at Macy's and does not regard Macy's as having trendy products.

| Attributes | Amazon | | | | | | Macy's | | | | |
|------------|--------|--------|---------|------------|------------|--------|--------|---------|------------|------------|--|
| | Entire | Boomer | Boomer | Millennial | Millennial | Entire | Boomer | Boomer | Millennial | Millennial | |
| | | Males | Females | Males | Females | | Males | Females | Males | Females | |
| Brand | 19.08 | 18.68 | 17.76 | 21.63 | 18.50 | 27.39 | 24.23 | 25.57 | 30.29 | 28.31 | |
| Comfort | 25.92 | 27.73 | 26.00 | 26.17 | 24.79 | 32.89 | 34.23 | 33.76 | 33.25 | 31.55 | |
| Price | 30.85 | 27.09 | 31.86 | 28.42 | 33.71 | 28.30 | 26.45 | 30.90 | 26.79 | 28.83 | |
| Fit | 24.40 | 24.59 | 27.05 | 22.46 | 24.10 | 34.18 | 35.23 | 35.33 | 33.29 | 33.57 | |
| Durability | 21.35 | 23.64 | 24.62 | 19.29 | 19.69 | 28.61 | 29.82 | 31.14 | 28.04 | 27.02 | |
| Trendiness | 24.79 | 18.55 | 23.43 | 24.25 | 29.05 | 27.63 | 22.36 | 27.76 | 29.50 | 29.26 | |
| Quality | 22.74 | 23.32 | 27.05 | 20.54 | 21.55 | 32.94 | 33.64 | 36.67 | 31.71 | 31.40 | |
| Total | 169.13 | 163.60 | 177.77 | 162.76 | 171.39 | 211.94 | 205.96 | 221.13 | 212.87 | 209.94 | |

| Table 1. | The Result | t of Multi-Attribute Model Tests |
|----------|------------|----------------------------------|

Discussion: This research illuminates consumer attitudes toward both retailers; understanding attitudes is essential since attitudes are lasting general evaluations that are hard to change, so this understanding helps predict consumer preferences and actions (Solomon, 2008). Amazon may need to utilize celebrities and social media to improve the reliability of its brands and products as millennials are strongly influenced by celebrities and social media for fashion inspiration.

© 2018, International Textile and Apparel Association, Inc. ALL RIGHTS RESERVED ITAA Proceedings, #75 - <u>http://itaaonline.org</u>

Macy's also needs to use social media and to do more active advertisements for millennials for its future comparative advantages.

References:

Aaker, D. A. (1991). Managing brand equity. New York, NY: Free Press.

Business Insider. (2017). Amazon just got one step closer to crushing America's biggest clothing stores. Retrieved from http://www.businessinsider.com/amazon-becomes-the-biggest-clothing-retailer-in-the-us-2017-6

- Perner, Lars. (2017). Consumer behavior: The psychology of marketing. University of Southern California: Consumer Psychologist. Retrieved from http://www.consumerpsychologist.com/.
- Solomon, M. (2008). *Consumer behavior buying, having, and being* (8th ed.). Upper Saddle River, NJ: Pearson Prentice Hall.