

Search

Get the latest research-based information on crops. Sign up to be notified when new content is available!

ICM > 2007 > IC-498(26) -- December 10, 2007

Current Newsletter

You are viewing archives for the newsletter from 1993-2007. For current news, see Integrated Grop Management News.

Archives 1993-2007



Announcements



Crop Production



Insects and Mites



Pesticide Education



Plant Diseases



Soils



Weed Management

Image Gallery

Printable Version

Printable version of this page

Related Articles

Surveying lowa for SCN December 10, 2007

A new take on soil sampling fields for SCN November 12, 2007

Results of SCN-resistant soybean variety testing become available November 12, 2007

Sample fields this fall for SCN to figure out 2007 or plan for 2008 October 1, 2007

SCN females on roots signal infestations and possibly ineffectiveness of resistance June 25, 2007

Winter annual weeds and SCN: What's the connection? April 23, 2007

Soybean cyst nematode: Still a major threat to soybean production March 26, 2007

Field testing of N-Hibit™ seed treatment in 2007 for management of SCN in lowa

by Greg Tylka and Chris Marett, Department of Plant Pathology

N-Hibit™ is a seed-treatment that contains harpin protein, a compound that can stimulate plant defense responses. N-Hibit™ is now being sold in the United States for management of the soybean cyst nematode (SCN). lowa State University evaluated the effects of N-Hibit™ seed treatment on soybean yield and SCN population densities in experiments at nine locations throughout lowa. Experiments were conducted in Albert City, Mason City, and Manchester in northern lowa; Cambridge, Farnhamville, and Urbana in central lowa; and Council Bluffs, Crawfordsville, and Melrose in southern lowa. The work was supported by the soybean checkoff through funds from the lowa Soybean Association.

At each experiment, an SCN-susceptible and an SCN-resistant variety were grown. Seeds of each of the two varieties were either untreated or treated with N-Hibit™ at a rate recommended by Plant Health Care Inc., the distributors of the product. Plots were four 17-foot-long rows spaced 30 inches apart and were planted at a rate of 10 seeds per foot. There were four replicate plots per variety-seed treatment combination, and 16 plots total per experiment. All plots were end trimmed to 14 feet during the first three weeks of September. When plants in all plots at an experiment were mature, the center two rows of each four-row plot were harvested with a plot combine, total seed weight per plot and seed moisture were determined, and total plot seed weights subsequently were converted to bushels per acre.

At the beginning of the growing season, each plot was sampled for the presence of SCN. Ten 1-inch-diameter, 6- to 8-inch-deep soil cores were collected from the center 14 feet of the center two rows immediately after planting. The soil cores comprising each soil sample were mixed thoroughly, SCN cysts were extracted from a 100-cc subsample (a little less than a half cup), and SCN eggs were extracted from the cysts and counted. SCN egg population densities also were determined for each plot at the end of the growing season in an identical manner.

The effect of N-Hibit™ on soybean yields in the nine experiments varied. There was no significant difference in yield of the SCN-resistant soybean varieties treated with N-Hibit™ or left untreated in any of the experiments. Overall, yields of the resistant varieties were significantly greater than those of the susceptible varieties in eight of the nine experiments.

With the SCN-susceptible varieties, plots treated with N-Hibit™ yielded significantly greater than untreated plots at the Urbana experiment in central lowa and at the experiment in Melrose in southern lowa (see Table 1). There was no significant difference in yield of untreated and N-Hibit™-treated, SCN-susceptible soybean varieties at the other seven experimental locations. At all nine experimental locations, there was no significant difference in final SCN egg population densities in plots with SCN-resistant or SCN-susceptible varieties with untreated seed versus seed treated with N-Hibit™.

The yield data from individual experimental locations also were combined by district (north = Albert City, Manchester, and Mason City experiments; central = Cambridge, Farnhamville, and Urbana experiments; and south = Council Bluffs, Crawfordsville, and Melrose experiments) for analysis. No significant effects were detected for the SCN-susceptible or the SCN-resistant varieties in the combined data (see Table 2).

It is not known why yields were significantly greater in plots with N-Hibit™ than in untreated plots at the experiments in Urbana and Melrose, lowa, but not at the other seven experimental locations. Also, it is interesting that there were no statistical differences in final SCN egg population densities at any experiment, including the two in which significant differences in yield of SCN-susceptible varieties were detected. Additional experiments will be conducted in 2008 to obtain more information about the effects of N-Hibit™ on soybean yields and SCN egg population densities in lowa.

Table 1. Locations, soybean yields, and SCN egg population densities of an SCN-susceptible soybean variety with and without N-Hibit™ seed treatment in nine experiments in Iowa in 2007.

Location Initial SCN Egg Density (eggs/100 cc) Yield (bu/acre) Final SCN Egg Density (eggs/100 cc) Final SCN Egg Density (eggs/100 cc) Final SCN Egg Density (eggs/100 cc) Untreated V-Hibit™ Treated V-Hibit™ Treated

Northern Iowa district

SCN-resistant soybean varieties: Not all are created equal February 26, 2007
How to interpret SCN soil test results December 18, 2006
What's your type?: An HG type test for SCN populations
November 13, 2006

Albert City	2,500	51.7	52.5	NA	NA	
Manchester	431	65.5	66.3	NA	NA	
Mason City	5,819	39.4	36.6	2,050	1,975	
Central lowa district						
Cambridge	3,869	55.6	57.6	2,650	2,650	
Farnhamville	4,925	47.9	44.7	NA	NA	
Urbana	4,338	54.7 b	57.7 a	NA	NA	
Southern lowa district						
Council Bluffs	613	64.5	67.1	3,275	4,025	
Crawfordsville	681	58.3	57.1	NA	NA	
Melrose	4,756	54.9 b	57.0 a	9,100	8,275	

Numbers presented for yield and final SCN egg population density are means of four replicate plots. Numbers followed by different letters within a row (within an experimental location) for yield and final SCN egg population density are significantly different; "NA" indicates data were not available at time of publication.

Table 2. Soybean yields and SCN egg population densities of an SCN-susceptible soybean variety with and without N-Hibit™ seed treatment from three combined experiments in each of three lowa districts in 2007.

District	Initial SCN Egg Density (eggs/100 cc)	Yield (bu/acre)		
		Untreated	N-Hibit™ Treated	
North	2,917	52.2	51.8	
Central	4,386	52.7	53.3	
South	2,017	59.2	60.4	

Numbers presented for yield are means of 12 replicate plots.



Locations of experiments in 2007 where N-Hibit™ seed treatment was evaluated for effects on soybean yields and SCN population densities in lowa.

Greg Tylka is a professor of plant pathology with extension and research responsibilities in management of plant-parasitic nematodes. Chris Marett is an assistant scientist with responsibilities for research on the biology and management of the soybean cyst nematode.

This article originally appeared on pages 290-291 of the IC-498(26) -- December 10, 2007 issue.

Updated 12/12/2007 - 5:35pm

