

ERRATUM: SINGULARITY FORMATION IN CHEMOTAXIS—A CONJECTURE OF NAGAI*

MATTHEW A. HALVERSON[†], HOWARD A. LEVINE[†], AND JOANNA RENCLAWOWICZ[‡]

Abstract. In [H. A. Levine and J. Renclawowicz, *SIAM J. Appl. Math.*, 65 (2004), pp. 336–360] we considered the problem $u_t = u_{xx} - (uv_x)_x, v_t = u - av$ on the interval $I = [0, 1]$, where $u_x, v_x = 0$ at the end points, $u(x, 0), v(x, 0)$ are prescribed, and $a > 0$. (It was claimed in that article that there were solutions that blow up in finite time in every neighborhood of the spatially homogeneous steady state $(u, v) = (\mu, \mu/a)$ if $\mu > a$.) Here we correct an estimate and reduce Nagai’s conjecture to the following statement. Let $\sigma = a/(\mu - a), \rho_1 = 1$. If $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \rho_n$ exists, where for $n \geq 2$, $\rho_n^n \equiv 1/(n-1) \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (1 + \sigma/j) \rho_j^j \rho_{n-j}^{n-j}$, then the blow up assertion holds.

Key words. chemotaxis, finite time singularity formation, Keller–Segel model

AMS subject classifications. 35K55, 92C17

DOI. 10.1137/050631550

1. Introduction. In [1] we studied the system $u_t = u_{xx} - (uv_x)_x, v_t = u - av$ on the interval $I = [0, 1]$, where $u_x, v_x = 0$ at the end points, $u(x, 0), v(x, 0)$, are prescribed, and $a > 0$. Nagai and Nakaki [2] showed that there are solutions that are unbounded in finite or in infinite time.¹ We claimed that there were initial conditions for which solutions failed to exist for all time. In our proof we used a differential inequality, the derivation of which was unfortunately flawed. We correct this and make more precise the statement proved in [1].

2. Approximate solution. The notation of [1] is in force here. Because system $u_t = u_{xx} - (uv_x)_x, v_t = u - av$ is autonomous, we can assume the initial values are prescribed at $t = 0$ and that the blow up time, when it exists, is positive. As in [1], define, for any sequence $z(t) = \{z_n(t)\}_{n=1}^\infty$, $\mathcal{G}_n(z, z') = (1/2)C^2n\{(\mathcal{M}z * z')_n + n\frac{a}{2}(z * z)_n\}$ and $\mathcal{H}_n(z, z') = (1/2)C^2n\{[(T_n\mathcal{M}z, z') - (\mathcal{M}z, T_n z')] + an(z, T_n z)\}$, where $\mathcal{M}z(t) = \{nz_n(t)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ and $T_k z(t) = \{z_{n+k}(t)\}_{n=1}^\infty$. Here $|z| = \{|z_n|\}_{n=1}^\infty$ and $(z * w)_n = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} z_k w_{n-k}$. (The sum is zero if $n = 1$.)

The infinite system of ordinary differential equations for the cosine coefficients $h(t) = \{h_n(t)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is²

$$\mathfrak{L}_n h_n \equiv h_n'' + (C^2 n^2 + a)h_n' - (\mu - a)C^2 n^2 h_n = \mathcal{G}_n(h, h') + \mathcal{H}_n(h, h').$$

The infinite system of ordinary differential equations satisfied by the cosine coefficients for the approximate problem, $g(t) = \{g_n(t)\}_{n=1}^\infty$, satisfies $\mathfrak{L}_n g_n = \mathcal{G}_n(g, g')$. The

*Received by the editors May 13, 2005; accepted for publication May 24, 2005; published electronically November 15, 2005.

<http://www.siam.org/journals/siap/66-1/63155.html>

[†]Department of Mathematics, Iowa State University, Ames, IA 50011 (mhalver@iastate.edu, halevine@iastate.edu).

[‡]Institute of Mathematics, Polish Academy of Sciences, Śniadeckich 8, 00-956, Warsaw, Poland (jr@impan.gov.pl).

¹The Nagai conjecture states that if $\mu > a$, there are spatially nonhomogeneous solutions beginning in every small neighborhood of $(\mu, \mu/a)$ which cannot exist for all time.

²The spatially homogeneous solution is given by $V(t) = \mu/a + (v_0 - \mu/a) \exp(-at)$, $U(t) = \mu$. One sets $\psi(x, t) = v(x, t) - V(t)$, $u(x, t) = \mu + \psi_t + a\psi$. Then $h(t)$ is the sequence of cosine coefficients for $\psi(x, t)$.

particular sequence $g(t) \equiv \{g_n(t) = a_n e^{n\lambda t}\}_{n=1}^\infty$ satisfies this system for $a_1 > 0$, and for $n \geq 2$ and any integer $M > 0$ with $C = 2\pi M$, $\mu > a$ if

$$(2.1) \quad 2\lambda[n - a/(4\pi^2 M^2)]a_n = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} [\lambda(n-k)k + ak]a_k a_{n-k},$$

where λ is the positive root of $\lambda^2 + (4\pi^2 M^2 + a)\lambda - (\mu - a)4\pi^2 M^2 = 0$. There are positive constants a, b, ϵ, δ with $a\epsilon^n \leq na_n \leq b\delta^n$ for all positive integers [1]. From this, it follows that $\liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} [(-\ln na_n)/(n\lambda)] \equiv \underline{T}_b$ and $\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} [(-\ln na_n)/(n\lambda)] \equiv \overline{T}_b$ are finite. Hence there is a subsequence $\{a_{n_k}\}_{k=1}^\infty$ such that $\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} [(-\ln n_k a_{n_k})/(n_k \lambda)] \equiv \underline{T}_b$. For this sequence, $\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} n_k a_{n_k} \exp(n_k \lambda \underline{T}_b) = 1$. Set $a_n = (A_n/n) \exp(-n\lambda \underline{T}_b)$. On the subsequence, $A_{n_k} \rightarrow 1$ and

$$(2.2) \quad \lim_{t \uparrow \underline{T}_b} \sum_{k=1}^\infty A_{n_k} e^{-n_k \lambda (\underline{T}_b - t)} = +\infty \text{ and } \lim_{t \uparrow \underline{T}_b} \sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{A_{n_k} e^{-n_k \lambda (\underline{T}_b - t)}}{n_k^{1+\delta}} < +\infty$$

(for any $\delta > 0$).

Now \underline{T}_b must be the blow up time for the approximate solution $g(t)$ in the space $\ell^1_1(0, \underline{T}_b) \times \ell^1(0, \underline{T}_b)$. (A sequence $\{a_n\}$ is in ℓ^1 if $\{na_n\}$ is in ℓ^1 .) To see this, note that as long as t is in the existence interval,

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{M}g(t)\|_{\ell^1} + \|g'(t)\|_{\ell^1} &= \sum_{n=1}^\infty na_n(1 + \lambda)e^{n\lambda t} \geq (1 + \lambda) \sum_{k=1}^\infty n_k a_{n_k} e^{n_k \lambda t} \\ &= (1 + \lambda) \sum_{k=1}^\infty A_k e^{-n_k \lambda (\underline{T}_b - t)}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, from the first equation in (2.2), $g(\cdot)$ must blow up at some time, possibly earlier than \underline{T}_b . If $t < \underline{T}_b$, then $\liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} [(-\ln na_n)/(n\lambda)] \equiv \underline{T}_b > \underline{T}_b - \delta > t$ for some positive δ . Therefore, for sufficiently large N , $\sum_{n=N}^\infty na_n e^{n\lambda t} \leq \sum_{n=N}^\infty ne^{-n\lambda(\underline{T}_b - \delta - t)} < \infty$.

Set $\sigma = a/\lambda$. Let $\{\ln[na_n/(2a_1^n)]/n\}_{n=1}^\infty = \{\ln A_n/n\}_{n=1}^\infty \equiv \{p_n/n\}_{n=1}^\infty$. The p_n satisfy $p_1 = -\ln 2$, and for $n \geq 2$, $[1 - a/(4\pi^2 M^2 n)]e^{p_n} = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (1 + \sigma/j)e^{(p_j + p_{n-j})}$. Then we have the following theorem.

THEOREM 1 (Nagai’s conjecture). *Let $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{p_n}{n}$ exist. The corresponding solution of the Nagai problem for which $h_n(0) = g_n(0)$ and $h'_n(0) = g'_n(0)$ for all n cannot both exist and be ℓ^1 regular on $[0, \infty)$. (A solution of the Nagai–Nakaki problem is ℓ^1 regular on an interval $I = [0, T_b)$ if it exists there and if $(\|\mathcal{M}h(s)\|_{\ell^1} + \|h'(s)\|_{\ell^1})$ is uniformly bounded on compact subsets I .)*

3. Estimate. Inequality (7.5) of [1] is incorrect. The correct form of the upper bound for the norm of $g - h \equiv w$, $\|\mathcal{M}w(t)\|_{\ell^1} + \|w'(t)\|_{\ell^1}$, is based on the following (infinite) system of ordinary differential equations:

$$(3.1) \quad \mathcal{L}_n w_n = \mathcal{G}_n(h - g, h') + \mathcal{G}_n(g, h' - g') + \mathcal{H}_n(h, h') = \mathcal{G}_n(w, h') + \mathcal{G}_n(g, w') + \mathcal{H}_n(h, h')$$

and, for some $B > 0$ depending perhaps on τ but not on w, w', h, h', g, g' , is given by

(3.2)

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{M}w(t)\|_{\ell^1} + \|w'(t)\|_{\ell^1} &\leq I(t) + J(t) + B \int_0^t \frac{(\|\mathcal{M}h(s)\|_{\ell^1} + \|h'(s)\|_{\ell^1})^2}{\sqrt{t-s}} ds \\ &\quad + B \int_0^t \frac{(\|\mathcal{M}w(s)\|_{\ell^1} + \|w'(s)\|_{\ell^1})(\|\mathcal{M}h(s)\|_{\ell^1} + \|h'(s)\|_{\ell^1})}{\sqrt{t-s}} ds, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$I(t) + J(t) \equiv \int_0^t \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{M}(|g'| * \mathcal{M}|w|)_n e^{-dn^2(t-s)} ds + \int_0^t \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{M}^2(|g| * |w|)_n e^{-dn^2(t-s)} ds,$$

and where $d > 0$ is the positive constant in [1, Lemma 1]. We have

$$\begin{aligned} I(t) &= \int_0^t \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} n(n-k) |g'_k| |w_{n-k}| e^{-dn^2(t-s)} ds \\ &= \int_0^t \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n(n+k) |g'_k| |w_n| e^{-d(n+k)^2(t-s)} ds \\ &\leq \int_0^t \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |g'_k| e^{-(d/2)k^2(t-s)} \left[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n+k) e^{-(d/2)(n+k)^2(t-s)} n |w_n| \right] ds \\ &\leq c \int_0^t \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |g'_k| e^{-(d/2)k^2(t-s)}}{\sqrt{t-s}} \|\mathcal{M}w(s)\|_{\ell^1} ds \\ &\leq c \int_0^t \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k e^{-(d/2)k^2(t-s) - \lambda k(T_b - s)} \frac{\|\mathcal{M}w(s)\|_{\ell^1}}{\sqrt{t-s}} ds \\ &\leq c \int_0^t \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k e^{-[(d/2)k^2 + k\lambda](t-s)} \right\} \frac{\|\mathcal{M}w(s)\|_{\ell^1}}{\sqrt{t-s}} ds \equiv c \int_0^t \mathcal{W}(t-s) \frac{\|\mathcal{M}w(s)\|_{\ell^1}}{\sqrt{t-s}} ds. \end{aligned}$$

In the same manner,

$$\begin{aligned} J(t) &= \int_0^t \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} n^2 |g_k| |w_{n-k}| e^{-dn^2(t-s)} ds \\ &\leq \int_0^t \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n+k)^2 |g_k| |w_n| e^{-d(n+k)^2(t-s)} ds \\ &\leq \int_0^t \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |kg_k| e^{-(d/2)k^2(t-s)} \left[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(n+k)^2}{kn} e^{-(d/2)(n+k)^2(t-s)} n |w_n| \right] ds. \end{aligned}$$

From the inequality $(k+l)/kl \leq 2$,

$$J(t) \leq c' \int_0^t \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k e^{-[(d/2)k^2 + k\lambda](t-s)} \right\} \frac{\|\mathcal{M}w(s)\|_{\ell^1}}{\sqrt{t-s}} ds \equiv c' \int_0^t \mathcal{W}(t-s) \frac{\|\mathcal{M}w(s)\|_{\ell^1}}{\sqrt{t-s}} ds.$$

In view of (2.2), $\lim_{t \uparrow T_b} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k k^{-2} e^{-k\lambda(T_b - t)} < +\infty$. Thus $\mathcal{W}(t)$ is in every $L^p[0, T_b]$ space for $1 \leq p < \infty$. With $f(t) = \|\mathcal{M}w(t)\|_{\ell^1} + \|w'(t)\|_{\ell^1}$, we see $f(t) \leq \int_0^t \mathcal{W}(t-s) f(s) / \sqrt{t-s} ds + \Phi(h(t))$. From Hölder's inequality with $1/p + 1/r + 1/q = 1$

and $1 < r < 2$, there is a constant $K > 0$ such that $f(t) \leq K[\int_0^t f(s)^q ds]^{1/q} + \Phi(h(t))$ on $[0, T_b)$. From Gronwall's inequality, if h is global, $f(t)$ is bounded on $[0, T_b)$. From the first sum in (2.2) and the triangle inequality, this is impossible.

Other minor errors in [1]. Page 345, equation (5.1): Replace $k(w_k g_{n+k} + h_k w_{n+k})$ by $n(w_k g_{n+k} + h_k w_{n+k})$. Page 349, equation in line 13: $c\sqrt{t}$ should be replaced by $c \sup_{[0, T]} \sqrt{t}$.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. A. LEVINE AND J. RENCLAWOWICZ, *Singularity formation in chemotaxis—a conjecture of Nagai*, SIAM J. Appl. Math., 65 (2004), pp. 336–360.
- [2] T. NAGAI AND T. NAKAKI, *Stability of constant steady states and existence of unbounded solutions in time to a reaction-diffusion equation modelling chemotaxis*, Nonlinear Anal., 58 (2004), pp. 657–681.