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Summary and Implications
Mastitis research has shown that 40-50% of intramammary infections (IMI) are contracted during the dry or non-lactating period with the greatest percentages of these occurring during the first and last two weeks of the dry period. The ability to develop and apply external persistent barrier teat dip products (like a liquid bandage) that can persist for these 1 week periods could decrease IMI, thus improving animal health and performance, and product quality and safety. The objective of this study was to evaluate 2 new prototype persistent barrier dry cow teat dips compared to a commercially available dry cow barrier teat dip, with particular interest and comparisons of dip persistency in providing teat end protection, and overall teat end and skin health.

Materials and Methods
1. Dips used: 3 dips were used in this trial. Two new prototype experimental dry cow barrier dips (2323-007-02 Dip A (A) and 2323-014-02 Dip B (B)) were compared to a commercially available blue dry cow barrier dip (T-Hexx Dry, Hydromer, Inc.) (T).
2. Cows: All protocols were approved by the ISU Committee on Animal Care. 32 dry cows and pregnant heifers (~2-4 weeks pre-calving) were used for the study. Cows were housed in a free stall barn with sand bedding and headlocks on the south side of the ISU dry cow barn. Cows were fed and locked up at 7:00 am Saturday April 13, 2013.
3. Animal ID and teat health evaluation (initial and final): 32 animals in lockups were visually identified by eartag. All teats of all animals were cleaned and dried with terry cloth towels. If teats were visibly dirty, teats were pre-dipped first with a .5% iodine predip and then dried with the towel. Individual teat ends and teat skin for every animal were evaluated by one scorer using the system below at this time (initiation of trial) and again once the dip had completely been removed from the teat following dipping (final evaluation). Comparisons between dips as well as between evaluation periods were conducted.
4. Teat dipping and dripping / drying evaluations: Dip was dispensed into dixie cups for dipping and refilled as needed. 32 total cows were dipped. 8 cows were dipped in a half udder design with right teats dipped in T-Hexx dip (T1 - control) and left teats with Dip A (A) and a 2nd set of 8 dipped with right teats dipped with A and left teats dipped with T (T1). The next 8 cows were dipped with right teats getting T (T2) and left teats diped in B, with the last 8 cows dipped with right teats in B and left teats with T-Hexx (T2). Observations of film or dip thickness, color, dip dripping and/or stringing of dip, and dip wastage via animal leg movement, etc. were recorded. 4 cows were photographed on day 0 (dip day).
5. Teat dip persistency evaluation: Teat dip persistency or coverage of teats (especially teat ends) was conducted every 24 hours. Teat dip coverage was score using a 0-4 scale: (4= complete teat adherence similar

Introduction
Mastitis research has shown that 40-50% of intramammary infections (IMI) are contracted during the dry or non-lactating period with the greatest percentages of these occurring during the first and last two weeks of the dry period. At these times, the mammary gland is in a transitional state. Immunological factors are preoccupied or suppressed, milk is not being flushed from the gland, and increased mammary pressure distends the teat, thus allowing for easier bacterial penetration through the streak canal. Both external persistent sealant (2-5 day adherence) dips and internal teat sealants have been developed and shown to decrease IMI rates, especially environmental mastitis, in dry cows/ springing heifers during the early dry and late prepurpartum periods when used properly. The ability to develop and apply external persistent barrier teat dip products (like a liquid bandage) that can persist for these 1 week periods could decrease IMI, thus improving animal health and performance, and product quality and safety. The objective of this study was to evaluate 2 new prototype persistent barrier dry cow teat dips compared to a commercially available dry cow barrier teat dip, with particular interest and comparisons of dip persistency in providing teat end protection, and overall teat end and skin health.
to originally dipped; 3 = dip starting to peel but on ¾ of
teat; 2 = 50% of teat covered; 1 = teat end only
covered; and 0 = dip completely off. Observations on
dip shearing, flaking, or tearing were also recorded. A 2
digit system (x-0) was used when dip was off the end
but still on the side of teat (x= side coverage number, 0
= dip not covering teat end). Cows with 2 digit scores
(teat ends not covered but dip on sided) are designated
with an asterisk (4*) in the master database (T-Hexx
Dry Study 2013 excel database)

Results and Discussion

1. Teat end and teat skin health: Prior to dipping, all
teats had excellent teat skin and ends (a few cracked
and hyperkeratotic ends) since these were mid dry cows
and heifers (no milking machine pressures). All teat
skins and teat ends of both groups scored the same after
dip was removed and gone.

There were no differences among dips with regards to teat
skin and teat end health. All teats had excellent teat skin
and teat end health before dipping and after dip removal.

2. Teat dip film coverage: (pictures at end).
- Dip films on Day 0: Both experimental dips (A & B)
  initially looked darker with a slightly thicker film that
dripped less. Experimental dips were very sticky upon
drying as evidence by the dip getting on our gloves, etc.
when dipping.
- Dip films on Day 2: Both experimental dips (A & B)
  looked darker (almost black) with a slightly thicker and
  more brittle and rigid film (less flexible than T-Hexx). The
  other issue was how sticky these prototypes were.
  Although they dripped less, they must have taken much
  longer or a long time to dry as the dip stuck to hair or to
  the udder (teat folded over and stuck to udder). The first 16
cows had dip on 15 -20 minutes before being released
while second 16 had dip on 5-10 minutes. There was more
issues with the 2nd group but this remained a problem with
both experimental dips (very sticky and longer dry time?).
- Dip thickness, stickiness, and reasonable drying times are
  very important. We dip not dip any different than we have
in previous experiments but experimental dips in this were
very sticky for a long period of time.

3. Teat dip persistency and coverage: Results can be
found in Figures 1 and 2 below. Figure 1 represents %
of teat ends protected relative to dips used and days
post dipping. Figure 2 represents days post dipping that
an individual cow (both teats) were still completely
protected. Only compare T1 to Dip A and T2 to Dip
B!!!

![Figure 1. % teat ends protected (> 1) in relation to dip
used and days post dipping (T1 v A; T2 v B).]

![Figure 2. % cows protected (both teats ≥ 1) in relation
to dip used and days post dipping. (T1 v A; T2 v B).]
compared to Dip A (average 1.7 days greater minimum retention time; 2 day greater median days protected).

- Average minimum retention times and median days retention times for T-Hexx and Dip A on front and rear teats were: T-Hexx: 4.13 and 4.38 days, median 5 and 5 days; Dip A: 2.5 and 2.69 days, median 3 and 3 days protection.

b) **T-Hexx (T2) vs. Dip B**: T-Hexx showed significantly better persistency on teats and cows in this trial compared to Dip A (average 1-2 days greater minimum retention time; 1 day greater median days protected).

- Average minimum retention times and median days retention times for T-Hexx and Dip B on front and rear teats were: T-Hexx: 3.5 and 2.88 days, median 3 and 3 days; Dip B: 1.56 and 1.94 days, median 2 and 2 days protection.

c) **T-Hexx 1 and A cows vs T-Hexx 2 and B cows**: There were differences in these groups in regards to amount of drying time before the cows were released. The first group (TH1, A) was 15-20 min while 2nd group (TH2, B) was 5-10 minutes. This possibly affected retention times as the 2nd group had shorter retention times compared to group 1.

d) **Overall T-Hexx vs experimental dips**: Overall, commercial T-Hexx dipped teats showed greater persistency and protection over time compared to the experimental dips.

e) **Overall Summary**

- Cows dipped with commercial T-Hexx dip had significantly greater persistency and protection compared to experimental dips A (2323-007-02) and B (2323-014-02).

- Experimental dips had darker coloring and dripped less, but resulted in thicker, more rigid films that cracked easier. Also, experimental dips took longer to dry and resulted in a major “stickiness” problem where the dip stuck to bedding, legs, hair, and also resulted in teats folding over and sticking to the udder. This stickiness and slow drying resulted in major persistency and dip retention issues as well as may possibly escalate rather than reduce mastitis risks.

---

**Table 1: Teat Skin Scoring Scale**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>Teat skin has been subjected to physical injury (stepped on/ frost bite)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Teat skin is smooth, soft and free of any scales, cracks, or chapping.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Teat skin shows some evidence of scaling especially when feeling (areas of dryness by feeling drag when sliding a gloved hand along the teat barrel &amp;/or seeing areas of lower reflective sheen to the surface of the skin).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Teat skin is chapped. Chapping is where visible bits of skin are visibly peeling.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Teat skin is chapped and cracked. Redness, indicating inflammation, is evident.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Teat skin is severely damaged / ulcerated / open lesions.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2: Teat End Scoring Scale (0*-5)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cracking</th>
<th>Degree of hyperkeratosis or callousing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No cracking</td>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cracked</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

0* zero score – physical injury of teat not associated with trial

---

**Cow pictures: 4/13/2013 10 minutes post dipping**

T-Hexx right side, A dip left side

T-Hexx right side, A dip left side
Day 2 post dipping: great coverage/ persistent by both dips

Day 2 poor coverage by both (note films)          Day 2 T-Hexx left (great film); A right: thick film 1 teat

T Hexx left; A dip on right (stuck to hair/ folded teat)   T-Hexx film left; A right- thick, rigid, hair stuck